

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING: MEDICAID AS A CRUCIBLE OF PUBLIC GOODS

Robert I. Field*

ABSTRACT

Medicaid has been called the “workhorse” of the American health care system, but one would hardly see that in the tenor of political debates. The Program perennially faces political headwinds that at times build to hurricane force with proposals for dramatic structural changes and spending cuts. In 2024, Medicaid covered more than 70 million Americans, and another ten million were covered by its companion program, the Children’s Health Insurance Program. As formidable as these numbers are, the Program’s impact runs much deeper, affecting the lives of almost everyone in the United States. It serves as an essential support for the entire health care system and in doing so helps to sustain almost every hospital, nursing homes, and a range of other providers. This support, in turn, generates population-wide benefits that can be seen as public goods on which everyone relies, whether they realize it or not, that the private sector could not provide. These include peace-of mind from knowing there is access to inpatient hospital care, emergency rooms and long-term care when needed, protection from public health threats, improved health care spurred by continual innovation, greater social stability, enhanced economic productivity, and reduced health inequities. As devastating as proposals to shrink Medicaid would be for millions of low-income Americans, these repercussions would cause hardship for almost everyone.

This article explains the structure of Medicaid and its role in sustaining the health care system, the nature of the public goods it produces, and the widespread harm that would be caused by diminishing them. By characterizing public debates in this way, the Program’s supporters could reframe political discourse as a matter of universal self-interest.

* Professor of Law, Thomas R. Kline School of Law, and Professor of Health Management and Policy, Dornsife School of Public Health, Drexel University. JD, Columbia Law School, MPH, Harvard Chan School of Public Health, PhD, Boston University. The author thanks Sidney Watson, Thomas Williams and the other participants in the Works-In-Progress Retreat at Seton Hall Law School in January 2023 and colleagues at Drexel’s Thomas R. Kline School of Law and Dornsife School of Public Health for invaluable comments and suggestions. He also thanks Daniel Paterno, Hannah Segota and Jessa Feller for meticulous and tireless research assistance.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

INTRODUCTION

Medicaid has been called the “workhorse” of the American health care system, but one would hardly see it that way from the tenor of recurrent political debates over its size and structure. The program perennially faced political headwinds, but their velocity has recently been building to hurricane force. Proposals for structural changes that could substantially shrink the program, such as work requirements, federal funding through block grants, and tighter eligibility reductions, arise with increasing frequency and stridency. Yet, as devastating as proposals to shrink Medicaid would be for millions of low-income Americans who rely on it for essential health care, the repercussions would cause hardship for the entire country in ways that are not as readily apparent.

A recent example of Medicaid’s impact illustrates the point. There is only one general acute care hospital in a large, highly populated corridor in the inner city of Philadelphia just north of the City’s center.¹ The area, known as North Philadelphia, is one of the poorest in the City, already the poorest of the ten largest cities in the United States, and a large proportion of the residents of the area are Black and Latino.² It also has among the lowest ratios of primary care providers to adults in the City.³

Hospital access in North Philadelphia shrank significantly in 2019 after the closure of Hahnemann University Hospital, an academic medical center located at the area’s southern edge.⁴ It had been an important source of emergency and advanced high-technology care for residents with limited access to transportation.⁵ It was also the source of thousands of jobs.⁶ Tenet Healthcare, a for-profit national hospital chain owned it for almost 20 years, however located in a poor area, the Hospital faced financial challenges as it had a large number of uninsured patients

¹ *Interactive Hospital Map*, THE HOSP. & HEALTHSYSTEM ASS’N OF PA., <https://www.haponline.org/About-PA-Hospitals/Interactive-Map> (Sept. 1, 2019). The hospital is Temple University Hospital, which is four miles from the center of Philadelphia.

² *QuickFacts Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania*, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/philadelphiacountypennsylvania> (last visited Aug. 24, 2022) (In 2021, the population of Philadelphia County was 43.6 percent Black and 15.9 percent Hispanic. The median household income was \$49,127 with 19.4 percent of people living below the poverty line.). See also Mike Shields, *The Changing Distribution of Poverty in Philadelphia*, ECON. LEAGUE (Dec. 16, 2020), <https://economyleague.org/providing-insight/leadingindicators/2020/12/16/phlpov19> (Regions in Northeast Philadelphia, Germantown, Overbrook, Cobbs Creek, Southwest Philadelphia, and the lower sections of South Philadelphia saw significant increases in residential poverty between 2014 and 2019.).

³ Elizabeth J. Brown, Daniel Polsky, Corentin M. Barbu, Jane W. Seymour & David Grande, *Racial Disparities in Geographic Access to Primary Care in Philadelphia*, 35 HEALTH AFFS. 1374, 1379 (2016).

⁴ Maria Cramer, *Philadelphia Hospital to Stay Closed After Owner Requests Nearly \$1 Million a Month*, N.Y. TIMES, <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/27/us/coronavirus-philadelphia-hahnemann-hospital.html#:~:text=hahnemann%2Dhospital.html-Philadelphia%20Hospital%20to%20Stay%20Closed%20After%20Owner%20Requests%20Nearly%20%241,the%20cost%20was%20too%20steep> (last updated Mar. 29, 2020) (“Hahnemann Hospital, which once served the city’s poorest patients, closed in September 2019.”).

⁵ Chris Pomorski, *The Death of Hahnemann Hospital*, NEW YORKER (May 31, 2021), <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2021/06/07/the-death-of-hahnemann-hospital> (“Hahnemann served mostly low-income patients, but it has a range of medical subspecialties and was the primary teaching hospital used by Drexel University’s College of Medicine.”).

⁶ Richard J. Hamilton, *The Hahnemann University Hospital Closure and What Matters: A Department Chair’s Perspective*, 95 ACAD. MED. 494 (2020).

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

and relied heavily on Medicaid payments for many of the others. Eventually, Tenet found Medicaid reimbursement insufficient to cover expenses. In 2019, it sold the Hospital to a private equity firm, American Academic Health System, which filed for bankruptcy later that year in the face of continuing large losses.⁷

On first blush, it may not seem surprising that a hospital serving a predominantly poor and indigent patient base would be unable to sustain itself financially. However, health care is different in fundamental ways from other industries. It is not only more extensively regulated but also more heavily subsidized.⁸ Every sector of health care rests on a foundation of government regulatory and funding programs that shape its business structure and underlie its financial foundation.⁹ Of the \$4.5 trillion that the United States spent on health care in 2022, more than \$1,750 billion, nine percent of the country's entire gross domestic product, was spent by government programs to fund health care for those who are financially needy, most notably Medicaid.¹⁰ Hahnemann Hospital was able to remain financially viable for decades by relying on Medicaid but could not continue to operate when the Program's reimbursement could not keep pace with its needs.

Nevertheless, government spending on Medicaid is frequently questioned in political debates.¹¹ Critics routinely ask whether all of its beneficiaries truly deserve government support and for those who do, how much they should rightfully receive.¹² Some argue that the system is rewarding many who are undeserving or receiving more than their fair share of taxpayer-funded assistance.¹³

This Article addresses those arguments by recharacterizing Medicaid as an essential benefit for all of society, not just for those who receive its benefits. The Article argues that the portrayal of Medicaid as a "safety net" for the "deserving poor" or as an "entitlement" for a fortunate few misses their broader significance as a mainstay of the entire health care system and thereby of the wellbeing of everyone. In that role, it produces essential benefits that have many of the

⁷ Harold Brubaker, *Tenet will Leave Philly, Selling Hahnemann, St. Christopher's to Paladin*, PHILA. INQUIRER (Sept. 1, 2017, 11:59 AM), <https://www.inquirer.com/philly/business/tenet-leaves-philly-selling-hahnemann-st-christophers-to-paladin-20170901.html>, ("In the year ended June 30, St. Christopher's, Hahnemann, and related operations had \$790 million of operating revenue and an adjusted operating loss of \$15 million . . ."). See also, POMORSKI, *supra* note 5.

⁸ See ROBERT I. FIELD, *MOTHER OF INVENTION: HOW THE GOVERNMENT CREATED 'FREE-MARKET' HEALTH CARE 2* (2014) (stating "[t]he government funds, directs, and nurtures American health care on a fundamental level. Its role is so pervasive and of such longstanding importance that it can be credited with creating health care as we know it . . .").

⁹ *Id.* at 24 (stating "[e]very core element of the system was fashioned and shaped in one way or another by the government . . .").

¹⁰ Statistics on health care spending are compiled in *National Health Expenditures 2022 Highlights*, CTRS. FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVS., <https://www.cms.gov/newsroom/fact-sheets/national-health-expenditures-2022-highlights> (last visited Jan. 10, 2024).

¹¹ Adam Millsap, *Medicaid Spending is Taking Over State Budgets*, FORBES (Jan. 23, 2020), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/adammillsap/2020/01/23/medicaid-spending-is-taking-over-state-budgets/>. Adam Millsap is the Senior Fellow for economic opportunity issues at Stand Together and Stand Together Trust, nonprofit organizations formed and led by the Koch network. *Id.*

¹² Daniel Lanford & Jill Quadagno, *Identifying the Undeserving Poor: The Effect of Racial, Ethnic, and Anti-Immigrant Sentiment on State Medicaid Eligibility*, 63 SOCIO. Q. 1, 14 (2021). This study examined the relationship between Medicaid eligibility criteria by state with survey data on racial antipathy and found that states with higher scores of racial animus toward Blacks, Hispanics, and illegal immigrants employed the most stringent criteria for Medicaid eligibility, especially for low-income non-parents. *Id.*

¹³ *Id.* at 16.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

characteristics of “public goods” – goods that contribute to public welfare but cannot be profitably provided by private markets on their own.¹⁴ If society is to have them, it must rely on the government to supply them.¹⁵

Part I provides a summary of the history and structure of Medicaid within the larger context of American health care. Part II explains the economic concept of public goods, and the related concepts of common goods, externalities, and spillover effects. Part III applies these concepts to eight important societal benefits that Medicaid creates. Part IV explains Medicaid’s major shortcomings while weighing them against these benefits. The conclusion describes a broader conceptual understanding of Medicaid based on this analysis.

I. MEDICAID AND ITS PLACE IN AMERICAN HEALTH CARE

A. History and Structure

1. Overall Structure

Although private employer-sponsored health insurance and Medicare cover most of the American population, they fail to reach a sizable portion,¹⁶ almost 100 million in total.¹⁷ These include people who do not work for an organization that offers health benefits, are not the dependent of someone who does, are unable to afford employer-sponsored insurance if it is available, or are too young for Medicare. Without Medicaid, many of them would have no other source of coverage and therefore limited access to health care.

By number of beneficiaries, it the second largest source of health insurance in the United States after private, employer-based coverage.¹⁸ In 2021, it covered 35.1 percent of Americans under the age of 19, 15.4 percent of adults between 19 and 64, and 7.4 percent of adults over the age of 65.¹⁹ In 2020, Medicaid’s budget equaled almost half the total amount paid by Americans for private insurance.²⁰

¹⁴ INGE KAUL & RONALD U. MENDOZA, PROVIDING GLOBAL PUBLIC GOODS: MANAGING GLOBALIZATION 78, 80 (Inge Kaul et al. eds., 2003).

¹⁵ *Id.* at 80, 90.

¹⁶ Katherine Keisler-Starkey & Lisa N. Bunch, *Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2021*, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU (Sept. 2022), <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2022/demo/p60-278.pdf> (specifically looking at figure 1 at 3). In 2021, private insurance and Medicaid covered 84.4 percent of the United States population, and 8.3 percent had no health insurance at all. *Id.*

¹⁷ *Marketplace Enrollment, 2014-2022*, KAISER FAM. FOUND., <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/state-indicator/marketplace-enrollment/> (last visited Nov. 1, 2022) (ACA Marketplace enrollment, 14.5 million); *July 2022 Medicaid & CHIP Enrollment Trends Snapshot*, CTRS. FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVS., <https://www.medicare.gov/medicaid/national-medicare-chip-program-information/downloads/july-2022-medicare-chip-enrollment-trend-snapshot.pdf> (last visited Aug. 1, 2024) (stating that 89 million individuals were enrolled in Medicaid and CHIP as of July 2022).

¹⁸ Katherine Keisler-Starkey & Lisa N. Bunch, *Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2021 (Table A-1)*, U.S. CENSUS BUREAU (2022), <https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2022/demo/p60-278.pdf>.

¹⁹ *Id.* at 27 (referencing Figure B-4 and showing that most beneficiaries aged 65 and above also receive benefits under Medicare).

²⁰ *NHE Fact Sheet*, CTRS. FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVS. (Aug. 12, 2022, 2:06 PM), <https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and->

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

The program is an example of cooperative federalism, structured as a federal-state partnership and is available in every state, although its generosity varies considerably between states.²¹ Funding is shared between the federal government and the states based on each state's average per capita income, and there is no cap on the amount of the federal contribution.²² State contributions vary between 50 and 23 percent.²³ Federal law also sets parameters for coverage and eligibility.²⁴ The program has generated considerable political debate in recent years over state decisions on whether to accept federal incentives under the Affordable Care Act (ACA) to expand the range of beneficiaries.²⁵

2. Medicaid's Origins

Medicaid grew out of a predecessor program enacted in 1960 to cover hospital expenses for a few categories of the poor. That program, the Kerr-Mills Act, was the first to provide financial access to health care on a national basis for patients who would otherwise be unable to afford it.²⁶ Although coverage under that Act was limited, it represented a significant shift in the locus of government health care spending from the states to the federal government.²⁷ Kerr-Mills followed the enactment of laws in 28 states and two territories that provided "old-age assistance."²⁸ Social commentators have observed that the path to their enactment was eased by a softening of widely held attitudes that many of the poor were "social deviates or paupers by choice" and therefore undeserving of government help.²⁹

Reports/NationalHealthExpendData/NHE-Fact-Sheet (Medicaid spending was \$671.2 billion in 2020. Private health insurance spending was \$1,151.4 billion in 2020.).

²¹ ROBERT STEVENS & ROSEMARY STEVENS, *WELFARE MEDICINE IN AMERICA: A CASE STUDY OF MEDICAID* 47 (1974) (Title 19 of the SSA liberalized and extended federal grants to states for the indigent and medically needy, establishing the Medicaid program). See MaryBeth Musumeci & Katherine Young, *State Variation in Medicaid Per Enrollee Spending for Seniors and People with Disabilities*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (May 1, 2017), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/state-variation-in-medicaid-per-enrollee-spending-for-seniors-and-people-with-disabilities/> (In 2011, per capita Medicaid spending for nonelderly adults with disabilities was between \$15k and \$19k in 25 states. That number could so much as double (between \$25k to \$38k) among states as different as Wyoming, New York, North Dakota, and Connecticut.).

²² Elizabeth Williams, Robin Rudowitz, & Alice Burns, *Medicaid Financing: The Basics*, KAISER FAM FOUND. (Apr. 13, 2023), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-financing-the-basics/> (last visited July 17, 2024).

²³ Dep't of Health & Hum. Servs., *Federal Financial Participation in State Assistance Expenditures; Federal Matching Shares for Medicaid, the Children's Health Insurance Program, and Aid to Needy Aged, Blind, or Disabled Persons for October 1, 2020 Through September 30, 2021*, 84 Fed. Reg. 66,204, 66,205–06 (Dec. 3, 2019).

²⁴ WILLIAMS ET AL., *supra* note 22.

²⁵ Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, Pub. L. No. 111–148, 124 Stat. 119, 121 (2010), as amended ("Improved Access to Medicaid"). See Adam Searing, *Medicaid Expansion Debate: Wyoming, Mississippi and Missouri*, GEO. UNIV. HEALTH POL'Y INST. (June 2, 2021), <https://ccf.georgetown.edu/2021/06/02/medicaid-expansion-debate-wyoming-mississippi-and-missouri/>.

²⁶ See STEVENS & STEVENS, *supra* note 21, at 28–31 (discussing Kerr-Mills, a means-tested program that provided federal funds to states covering health care services for aged, blind, and disabled patients. The program also introduced the concept of federal standard-setting for health care services.).

²⁷ *Id.* at 30 (Kerr-Mills did not increase aid to elders; instead, it shifted the burden of providing aid from a hodgepodge of charity, municipal, and state programs to the federal government.). Prior to the Kerr-Mills Act, the federal safety net had primarily taken the form of pensions through Social Security, enacted in 1935. See Nicole Huberfeld, *Federalizing Medicaid*, 14 U. PA. J. CONST. L. 431 (2011).

²⁸ STEVENS & STEVENS, *supra* note 21, at 32–33.

²⁹ See HUBERFELD, *supra* note 27.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

The Kerr-Mills Act created the basic framework on which Medicaid was built with a mix of federal and state financing and administration.³⁰ This stands in contrast to Medicare, which was structured as a unified national program financed entirely at the federal level.³¹ The participation of states in Medicaid is voluntary, but as of 1982 when Arizona implemented its program, all 50 states and the District of Columbia had chosen to participate.³²

In its original form, Medicaid covered a limited group of beneficiaries that included aged, blind, and disabled individuals with low incomes and parents of dependent children receiving public assistance.³³ States were also required to cover single parents and children receiving welfare through the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program.³⁴ However, they were free to set their own thresholds for income eligibility, and many set it below 50 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL).³⁵ Under this system of categories, childless adults below the age of 65 were ineligible for benefits regardless of income, a situation that was changed in most states by the ACA in 2014.³⁶

The original Medicaid program mandated that states determine coverage based on one of two tiers.³⁷ The minimal tier required coverage for “categorically needy” individuals, defined as those who qualify solely on the basis of income.³⁸ The slightly more generous second tier required coverage for “medically needy” individuals, defined as those who would join the ranks of the categorically needy if medical expenses were considered in determining their financial need.³⁹ Covered services for all beneficiaries were mandated to include basic medical care, including hospital and physician services, laboratory and radiology services, and nursing home care.⁴⁰ States that covered medically needy beneficiaries had options to add coverage for additional products and services, such as prescription drugs and dental care.⁴¹ All states do, and this is an important foundation for coverage expansion.

3. Coverage Expansion Over Time

Over time, Congress expanded the scope of Medicaid coverage, through both additional mandates for participating states and options that states could choose. A prominent example of an early mandate was the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program,

³⁰ Social Security Amendments of 1965, Pub. L. No. 89-97, § 121, 79 Stat. 286, 343.

³¹ Julia Paradise, Barbara Lyons & Diane Rowland, *Medicaid at 50*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. 1 (May 6, 2015), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/report/medicaid-at-50/>.

³² *Id.*

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ *Id.*

³⁵ *Id.* at 3.

³⁶ *Non-disabled Adults*, MACPAC (Jan. 8, 2021), <https://www.macpac.gov/subtopic/nondisabled-adults/> (last visited Nov. 5, 2022); *Medicaid Expansion to the New Adult Group*, MACPAC (Mar. 30, 2023), <https://www.macpac.gov/subtopic/medicaid-expansion/> (last visited Nov. 5, 2022).

³⁷ Programs for the categorically needy carried over from Kerr-Mills to the new Social Security Act of 1935, while the act was also structured to protect the working population from unexpected income loss. STEVENS & STEVENS, *supra* note 21, at 7.

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ *Id.*

⁴¹ Julia Paradise, *Medicaid Moving Forward (Figure 5)*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Mar. 9, 2015), <https://www.kff.org/health-reform/issue-brief/medicaid-moving-forward/>.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

created by the Social Security Amendments of 1967,⁴² which requires states to cover regular diagnostic screenings and preventive care for children up to age 21.⁴³ Another mandate is for coverage of additional essential services for children up to age six that was added in 1981 to combat concerns over rising infant mortality rates.⁴⁴ That expansion also mandated eligibility for pregnant women with incomes up to a state's income threshold for AFDC. Other mandatory expansions in 1989 and 1990 increased the income eligibility thresholds.⁴⁵

The most significant expansion occurred in 2014 under the ACA, which was passed in 2010. It offered states an enhanced matching share of 90 percent⁴⁶ for coverage of residents with incomes up to 133 percent of the FPL who had not previously qualified.⁴⁷ This change both raised the income thresholds in states that had set them lower and added a new category of eligibility for adults age 18 to 64 with incomes below 133 percent of the FPL.⁴⁸ The ACA also added a number of new benefit options, including the Basic Health Program, which enabled states to add coverage for individuals with incomes between 133 and 200 percent of the FPL who do not qualify for other government health care programs.⁴⁹ Such programs are currently in place in Minnesota and New York.⁵⁰

The ACA as originally drafted gave states the choice of accepting the new category of coverage or losing eligibility for all federal matching Medicaid funds. In 2012, the Supreme Court ruled in the case of *National Federation of Independent Businesses v. Sebelius* that a penalty that large constituted unconstitutional coercion of the states, but that implementation of the enhanced matching share as an incentive for voluntarily expanding Medicaid was constitutionally

⁴² Social Security Amendments of 1967, Pub. L. No. 90-248, 81 Stat. 821.

⁴³ *EPSDT in Medicaid*, MACPAC (Jan. 11, 2021), <https://www.macpac.gov/subtopic/epsdt-in-medicaid/> (last visited Nov. 5, 2022) (“All children under age 21 enrolled in Medicaid through the categorically needy pathway are entitled to the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) benefit, which requires states to provide access to any Medicaid-coverable service in any amount that is medically necessary, regardless of whether the service is covered in the state plan. . . . However, just under 60 percent of children who should have received at least one initial or periodic screening received one. . . . States are responsible for ensuring that families are informed about the EPSDT benefit, that children are screened at appropriate intervals, and that they receive medically necessary treatment services. . . .”).

⁴⁴ PARADISE, LYONS & ROWLAND, *supra* note 31, at 4.

⁴⁵ *Id.*

⁴⁶ The federal share of the cost of expanding Medicaid when it took effect in 2014 was 100 percent for the first year, 95 percent for the second year and 90 percent thereafter. For those states that implemented the expansion after 2015, it started at 90 percent. See Michael E. Chernew, *The Economics of Medicaid Expansion*, HEALTH AFFS. FOREFRONT (Mar. 21, 2016), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/forefront.20160321.054035>.

⁴⁷ The law excludes five percent of income from the calculation, so the threshold is effectively 138 percent of the federal poverty level. See *Health Coverage Under the Affordable Care Act: Current Enrollment Trends and State Estimates*, ASPE OFF. OF HEALTH POL’Y 2 (Mar. 23, 2023), <https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/8e81cf90c721dbbf58694c98e85804d3/health-coverage-under-aca.pdf> (explaining the threshold as “The ACA established a Medicaid eligibility level of 133% of FPL for children, pregnant women, and adults as of January 2014, and included a standard income disregard of five percentage points of the federal poverty level, which effectively raises this limit to 138% FPL Medicaid”).

⁴⁸ *Id.*

⁴⁹ Affordable Care Act, 42 U.S.C. § 18051.

⁵⁰ *Basic Health Program*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/basic-health-program/index.html> (last visited Mar. 27, 2021).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

permissible.⁵¹ The expansion thereby became voluntary for states. Forty states and the District of Columbia had accepted it as of 2024.⁵²

4. Growth in Enrollment Over Time

The expansion of benefits and eligibility over time led to a substantial expansion of Medicaid enrollment and cost. During the program's first 55 years, enrollment grew by more than twenty-fold, from four million in 1966 to 84.7 million in 2021.⁵³ There were two periods of especially rapid growth, one in 2014 resulting from implementation of the ACA expansion, and one in 2020 with the start of the Covid pandemic and a temporary prohibition of disenrollment.⁵⁴ Spending during those 55 years increased from \$0.9 billion to \$682.7 billion, reaching more than 19 percent of all health care expenditures in the country.⁵⁵ In 2021, Medicaid was the third largest payer for health care services in the United States after private insurance and Medicare.⁵⁶

5. Innovation through Waivers

A distinctive aspect of Medicaid is the various forms of flexibility it gives states to administer their programs in innovative ways. Among the most important is the authority of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), the agency that oversees the federal part of the program, was authorized to waive some federal operational requirements to enable states to try new approaches.⁵⁷ Most of these waivers are permitted under two sections of the Social Security Act. Section 1115 authorizes CMS to allow experiments through demonstration programs.⁵⁸ An important early example tested alternative ways of paying providers, such as through managed care and prospective payment to hospitals.⁵⁹ They were also used to expand access to home and

⁵¹ Nat'l Fed'n of Indep. Bus. v. Sebelius, 567 U.S. 519, 584, 585 (2012).

⁵² *Status of State Medicaid Expansion Decisions: Interactive Map*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Oct. 4, 2023), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/status-of-state-medicare-expansion-decisions-interactive-map/>.

⁵³ *Total Medicaid Enrollment From 1966 to 2022*, STATISTA, <https://www.statista.com/statistics/245347/total-medicare-enrollment-since-1966/> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024). At the end of this period, it covered more than 25 percent of the United States population. The United States population in 2021 was 331,893,745. *New Vintage 2021 Population Estimates Available for the Nation, States and Puerto Rico: Estimates Show Slowest Growth on Record for the Nation's Population*, U.S.CENSUS BUREAU, <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/2021-population-estimates.html> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024).

⁵⁴ The prohibition on disenrollment by states during the federally declared Covid Public Health Emergency (PHE) was included in the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act of 2020. Pub. L. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281. When the PHE ended in 2023, so did the prohibition on disenrollment, and many beneficiaries lost coverage. Enrollment over the next 18 months fell by more than 25 million. *Medicaid Enrollment Unwinder*. KAISER FAM. FOUND. (2024), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/medicaid-enrollment-and-unwinding-tracker-overview/> (last visited Aug. 23, 2024).

⁵⁵ Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act of 2020, Pub. L. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281.

⁵⁶ *Medicaid and CHIP 2023 Scorecard*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicare.gov/state-overviews/scorecard/annual-medicare-chip-expenditures/index.html> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024).

⁵⁷ The name of the agency was changed from the Health Care Finance Administration in 2003.

⁵⁸ 42 U.S.C. § 1315.

⁵⁹ ELIZABETH HINTON, ROBIN RUDOWITZ & MARYBETH MUSUMECI, KAISER FAM. FOUND., 3 KEY QUESTIONS: SECTION 1115 MEDICAID DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS, ISSUE BRIEF 3 (2017), <https://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-3-Key-Questions-Section-1115-Medicaid-Demonstration-Waivers> (last visited Aug. 30, 2024). In 2005,

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

community-based services (HCBS) for beneficiaries needing long-term care who would otherwise require institutionalization,⁶⁰ and to increase treatment options for substance abuse disorders.⁶¹ Section 1915 of the Social Security Act was added in 1981 to permit CMS to let states vary their Medicaid programs on an ongoing basis.⁶²

In improving access to care for these vulnerable populations, waiver programs also support the providers that render their care.⁶³ These include professionals, such as occupational therapists and physical therapists, organizations that provide outpatient care, and providers of ancillary services, such as transportation and educational support.⁶⁴ This coverage also reduces the uncompensated care burden on hospitals.⁶⁵

6. Children's Health Insurance Program

As critical as Medicaid is to the health of millions of low-income Americans, another safety net programs provides important additional support for targeted populations. The Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP), enacted in 1997, provides federal matching funds for states that cover children in families with incomes slightly above the Medicaid threshold.⁶⁶ Under it, states can add that coverage either as a separate program or as an expansion of Medicaid.⁶⁷ All states

Congress authorized CMS to go an additional step and offer money to states that implement these arrangements to cover the cost of care outside of institutions. See Deficit Reduction Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-171, 120 Stat. 4.

⁶⁰ HINTON ET AL., *supra* note 59.

⁶¹ In 2015, CMS encouraged states to submit waiver applications to test approaches to expanding treatment. The underlying goal was to overcome barriers to care resulting from fragmented care and unstable funding streams. See Erika Crable et al., *How Do Medicaid Agencies Improve Substance Use Treatment Benefits? Lessons from Three States' 115 Waiver Experiences*, 47 J. HEALTH POL., POL'Y, & L. 497 (2022). In response, states have tested 29 different evidence-based approaches. Results have been mixed, but the waiver process enabled policy makers to gain new understanding of what does and does not work. See Erika Crable et al., *Translating Medicaid Policy Into Practice: Policy Implementation Strategies From Three US States' Experiences Enhancing Substance Use Disorder Treatment*, IMPLEMENTATION SCI., Jan. 6, 2022, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-021-01182-4>.

⁶² See 42 U.S.C. § 1396n; U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH & HUM. SERVS., USING MEDICAID TO SUPPORT WORKING AGE ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESSES IN THE COMMUNITY: A HANDBOOK 111 (2005), available at https://aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/migrated_legacy_files//41461/handbook.pdf.

⁶³ See generally Lindsay Shea & Robert I. Field, *Medicaid Coverage for Autistic Individuals: Coverage, Gaps, and Research Needs*, 13 DREXEL L. REV. 961 (2021).

⁶⁴ *Id.*

⁶⁵ Waivers have also been designed to reduce Medicaid coverage, specifically waivers under section 1115 to impose work requirements on beneficiaries capable of employment. Only two have been approved (in Arkansas and Georgia). The Arkansas program resulted in loss of coverage for thousands of beneficiaries who met the waiver's requirements but failed to comply with reporting requirements. Other waivers were requested to turn Medicaid funding into block grants for the state to use as it wished within flexible parameters. While none of these waiver programs are currently in effect, similar proposals remain a possibility. See Jane Perkins, *The Administration's Medicaid Waivers: Exploding in the Guise of Experimenting*, 13 ST. LOUIS U. J. HEALTH LAW & POL'Y 53 (2019).

⁶⁶ *Program History*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/about-us/program-history/index.html>. CHIP programs now cover children in families with incomes up to at least 200% of the federal poverty level in all states. *Id.*

⁶⁷ *Benefits*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/chip/benefits/index.html> (last visited Mar. 27, 2021).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

have implemented CHIP coverage, with some increasing eligibility to as high as 200 percent of the FPL.⁶⁸ In 2024, CHIP covered more than seven million children.⁶⁹

B. Medicaid's Importance for Providers

1. Transition From Public Hospitals to Subsidized Coverage

Until the middle of the twentieth century, government support for indigent hospital care was provided primarily through public hospitals, most of which were located in major cities.⁷⁰ However, as the cost of operating these facilities rose in the latter part of the century and Medicare and Medicaid became available to finance care in private hospitals, a growing number of cities moved away from that model, and many public hospitals were closed.⁷¹ In their place, those cities turned to Medicaid to support care in private facilities,⁷² which were then forced to take up the slack.⁷³ As of 2020, only 498 of the 5,230 hospitals in the United States were public.⁷⁴

As more public hospitals around the country closed, the need for government support of private facilities serving their former patients grew. An example is the closure in 1977 of Philadelphia General Hospital, which had been a model of public hospital care for decades.⁷⁵ In its place, indigent patients were sent to several private hospitals, where their care was reimbursed by Medicaid and other government programs. Another example is the closure of Atlanta Medical Center. When plans were announced in 2022 to close it, city and state officials scrambled to find ways to find public funds to relieve the expected strain on a large private hospital system, Grady Health System. The closure left Grady's flagship hospital as the operator of the region's only Level 1 trauma center.⁷⁶

⁶⁸ MEDICAID.GOV, *supra* note 56.

⁶⁹ MEDICAID.GOV., 2024 MEDICAID & CHIP ENROLLMENT DATA HIGHLIGHTS (May 2024), available at <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/program-information/medicaid-and-chip-enrollment-data/report-highlights/index.html>, (reporting Medicaid 73,793,273 and CHIP enrollment at 7,062,673 for a total of 80,855,947).

⁷⁰ Jordan Rau & Emmarie Huetteman, *Urban Hospitals of Last Resort Cling to Life in Time of COVID*, MODERN HEALTHCARE (Sept. 17, 2020), <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/hospitals/urban-hospitals-last-resort-cling-life-time-covid>.

⁷¹ *Id.*

⁷² Dennis P. Andrulis, *The Public Sector In Health Care: Evolution or Dissolution?*, 16 HEALTH AFFS. 131 (1997).

⁷³ Dhruv Khullar, Zirui Song & Dave A. Chodshi, *Safety-Net Health Systems at Risk: Who Bears the Burden of Uncompensated Care*, HEALTH AFFS. FOREFRONT (May 10, 2018), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/safety-net-health-systems-risk-bears-burden-uncompensated-care>, (“What happens when a safety-net health system closes? Evidence suggests that the total demand for uncompensated care in a health care market does not change and that there is nearly complete spillover of uncompensated care to remaining hospitals.”).

⁷⁴ *Id.*

⁷⁵ Ian Gavigan & Amy Zaroni, *Hahnemann Shutdown Shows City Hasn't Learned from Gutting of Philadelphia General Hospital*, PHILA. INQUIRER (July 18, 2019), <https://www.inquirer.com/opinion/commentary/hahnemann-closure-safety-net-public-hospitals-philadelphia-general-hospital-20190718.html>.

⁷⁶ Dave Muoio, *Wellstar's Atlanta Hospital Closure Has Government Leaders Scrambling to Head Off Care Shortages*, FIERCE HEALTHCARE (Sept. 13, 2022, 12:14 PM), <https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/providers/wellstars-atlanta-hospital-closure-has-government-leaders-scrambling-head-care-shortages>.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

As the burden of indigent care has grown for private hospitals, some have incurred large amounts of debt, which has increased their risk of closure.⁷⁷ In fact, debt resulting from indigent care has been identified as the greatest threat to hospital financial survival.⁷⁸ This is due in large part to a failure of Medicaid and other public funding sources to keep pace with rising costs.⁷⁹ The closure of Hahnemann is a notable example, but there are numerous others.⁸⁰ A particularly disruptive one was in Chicago, where Mercy Hospital and Medical Center, a 412-bed facility on the City's South Side that served a predominantly low-income population, closed in 2021.⁸¹ It was one of three major hospital closures in the city that involved facilities in predominantly Black neighborhoods.⁸² Indigent care and insufficient reimbursement have also forced many rural hospitals to close, leaving residents with substantial geographic barriers to accessing care.⁸³

2.. Support Through Disproportionate Share Payments

To improve the finances of struggling safety net hospitals, state Medicaid programs enhance their reimbursement with supplements known as “disproportionate share” (DSH) payments.⁸⁴ Since states are not required to set Medicaid base payment rates at amounts that reflect the actual cost of care, the rates are usually lower than those provided by Medicare and most private insurance plans.⁸⁵ DSH payments are intended to make up some of the shortfall.⁸⁶

⁷⁷ Dinesh R. Pain, Hengameh Hosseini & Richard S. Brown, *Does Efficiency and Quality of Care Affect Hospital Closures?*, 8 HEALTH SYS. 17 (2019).

⁷⁸ *Id.*

⁷⁹ A study of hospital closures in Pennsylvania between 1999 and 2013 found higher rates among nonprofit than for-profit hospitals, teaching than non-teaching hospitals, and urban than rural hospitals. *See Id.* at 20. The total number of hospitals in the state during this time fell from 170 to 142. The number shrank from 22 to 21 for for-profit and from 148 to 121 for nonprofit hospitals, from 41 to 29 for teaching and from 129 to 113 for non-teaching hospitals, and from 106 to 85 for urban and from 64 to 57 for rural hospitals. *Id.* Of course, many factors were at work other than the level of public support. Notably, during this time, advances in technology permitted more care to be delivered in outpatient settings and at home, insurance deductibles for hospital care rose, and competition intensified. However, the disproportionate impact on hospitals focused on community service and serving more indigent populations suggests that public support played a major role.

⁸⁰ Harold Brubaker, *Philly's Tough Hospital Market – Not Greed – Did in Hahnemann*, PHILA. INQUIRER (June 20, 2020), <https://www.inquirer.com/business/health/hahnemann-university-hospital-closed-private-equity-freedman-philadelphia-20200620.html>.

⁸¹ RAU & HUETTEMAN, *supra* note 70.

⁸² *Id.*

⁸³ JAMES COSGROVE, GOV'T ACCOUNTABILITY OFF., GAO-21-93, RURAL HOSPITAL CLOSURES: AFFECTED RESIDENTS HAD REDUCED ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE SERVICES (2020), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-21-93.pdf>. Two federal programs supplement Medicaid payments to rural hospitals, the Medicare-dependent Hospital Program and the Low-Volume Hospital Program. *See* Robert King, *Hospitals to Congress: Clock Ticking to Renew Key Rural Hospital Pay Programs*, FIERCE HEALTHCARE (Aug. 31, 2022), <https://www.fiercehealthcare.com/providers/hospitals-congress-clock-ticking-renew-key-rural-hospital-pay-programs>.

⁸⁴ *Disproportionate Share (DHS) Payments*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/financial-management/medicaid-disproportionate-share-hospital-dsh-payments/index.html> (last visited Nov. 1, 2022).

⁸⁵ ALISON MITCHELL, CONG. RSCH. SERV., R42865, MEDICAID DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL PAYMENTS 1 (2020).

⁸⁶ *Id.* Base rate payment varies considerably between states. *See* Peter Cunningham et al., *Understanding Medicaid Hospital Payments and the Impact of Recent Policy Changes*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (June 2016), at 2,

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

DHS payments began in 1981⁸⁷ and constitute a significant share of the amount that many hospitals receive from the program and of program spending.⁸⁸ In 2020, states paid a total of \$19.5 billion in DSH payments, with \$8.2 billion coming from state funds and \$11.3 billion from federal funds.⁸⁹ In 2015, they accounted for 49 percent of Medicaid inpatient hospital payments nationally.⁹⁰ In 2017, they accounted for 14 percent of all Medicaid payments.⁹¹

However, DHS payments vary considerably between states, even though they are mandatory.⁹² A report by the Government Accountability Office found that in 2017, they represented 97 percent of payments to DSH-eligible hospitals in Maine and only 0.7 percent in Tennessee.⁹³ The report also found that nationally, they covered only 51 percent of actual uncompensated care costs.⁹⁴

DSH payments are essential to the ability of many facilities to provide care to lower-income patients.⁹⁵ Moreover, they have been shown to have a significant effect on the financial

https://nationaldisabilitynavigator.org/wp-content/uploads/news-items/KFF_medicaid-hospital-payments-and-recent-policy-changes_June-2016.pdf.

⁸⁷ *Id.* See Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, Pub. L. No. 97-35, 95 Stat. 483. DSH payments were implemented in response to a change in Medicaid's reimbursement rules for hospitals. At the program's inception in 1965, states were required to pay hospitals the reasonable cost of providing care. Most states linked their payment rates to Medicare's, which were based on the same criterion. This requirement was removed in 1980, and additional legislation passed the following year permitted states to reimburse hospitals at less than the reasonable cost of care. Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1396(a)(13)(A)(iv). However, the law directed them to "take into account the situation of hospitals which serve a disproportionate number of low-income patients." 42 U.S.C. § 1396r-4. In 1987, Congress made these payments mandatory for hospitals that serve the highest share of low-income patients. Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1396r-4(b). In 1993, they were set at the difference between the amount of Medicaid reimbursement and the unpaid costs of treating the uninsured. See REPORT TO CONGRESS ON MEDICAID: DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL PAYMENTS, MACPAC (Feb. 2016), available at <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/Report-to-Congress-on-Medicaid-DSH.pdf>, at 5 [hereinafter DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL PAYMENTS].

⁸⁸ Peter Cunningham, Robin Rudowitz, Katherine Young et al., *Understanding Medicaid Hospital Payments and the Impact of Recent Policy Changes*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. 2 (2016), <https://files.kff.org/attachment/issue-brief-understanding-medicare-hospital-payments-and-the-impact-of-recent-policy-changes> ("Nationally, all supplemental Medicaid payments combined amounted to 44 percent of Medicaid fee-for-service payments to hospitals in 2014 . . . Supplemental payments as a proportion of total Medicaid fee-for-service payments to hospitals varies from a low of about 2 percent in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Maine to more than two-thirds in Vermont and Pennsylvania.").

⁸⁹ DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL PAYMENTS, *supra* note 87, at 85.

⁹⁰ *Id.*

⁹¹ CAROLYN L. YOCOM, GOV'T ACCOUNTABILITY OFF., GAO-19-603, MEDICAID: STATES' USE AND DISTRIBUTION OF SUPPLEMENTAL PAYMENTS TO HOSPITALS (2019), available at <https://www.gao.gov/assets/gao-19-603.pdf>.

⁹² MITCHELL, *supra* note 85, at 1.

⁹³ YOCOM, *supra* note 91.

⁹⁴ *Id.* Since 1986, safety net hospitals have also received DSH payments through Medicare. See Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) of 1985, Pub. L. No. 99-272, § 886(d)(5)(F), 100 Stat. 82 (1986) and 42 C.F.R. § 412.106 (1989). See also *Disproportionate Hospital (DSH)*, CNTRS. FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SRVS., <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/payment/prospective-payment-systems/acute-inpatient-pps/disproportionate-share-hospital-dsh> (last visited July 27, 2024).

⁹⁵ Katherine Neuhausen et al., *Disproportionate-Share Hospital Payment Reductions May Threaten the Financial Stability of Safety-Net Hospitals*, 33 HEALTH AFF. 988, 989 (2014) ("California's public safety-net hospitals depend heavily on federal Medicaid disproportionate-share hospital (DSH) payments. These supplemental payments to hospitals that treat large numbers of low-income patients are designed to offset Medicaid shortfalls and the costs of uncompensated care.").

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

stability of hospitals that serve those patients.⁹⁶ For many facilities, they are a crucial source of revenue.⁹⁷ With these supplements, Medicaid reimbursement for some conditions is comparable to or even higher than Medicare rates.⁹⁸ In addition to helping hospitals compensate for shortfalls in Medicaid reimbursement and for the cost of care for patients without any insurance, DSH payments have been instrumental in enabling many hospitals to handle surges in demand, as occurred during the early stages of the Covid pandemic.⁹⁹

3. Support From the ACA Medicaid Expansion

For hospitals, the ACA's Medicaid expansion has further reduced the number of low-income patients whose care is uncompensated. As a result, it has been a source of life support for many that serve predominantly low-income populations, as documented by research comparing the financial state of hospitals in jurisdictions that expanded Medicaid and those that rejected it. An important consequence has been a reduction in the financial disparity between hospitals that treat a disproportionate share of low-income patients and those that do not.¹⁰⁰ A study comparing uncompensated care costs for hospitals in expansion states and non-expansion states found a decrease after the expansion of between 4.1 and 3.1 percent in expansion states and no change in

⁹⁶ Evan S. Cole et al., *Identifying Hospitals That May Be at Most Financial Risk from Medicaid Disproportionate-Share Hospital Payment Cuts*, 33 HEALTH AFFS. 2025 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0109>. An analysis conducted in 2014 soon after the ACA went into effect found that states that did not expand Medicaid had a larger percentage of hospitals eligible for DHS payments that were in weak financial condition.

⁹⁷ As an example of the magnitude of federal payments for some states, in 2019, Pennsylvania received \$1.8 billion in total Medicaid payments from the federal government, of which \$811 million were DHS payments and \$429 million were other supplemental payments. The DHS and supplement payments translate to 68.5 percent of the State's total Medicaid revenue. *Exhibit 24: Medicaid Supplemental Payments to Hospital Providers by State FY2019*, MACPAC STATS: MEDICAID AND CHIP DATA BOOK 70(2019), <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/MACStats-Medicaid-and-CHIP-Data-Book-December-2019.pdf>.

⁹⁸ See *id.* The report found that Medicaid payments with supplements were higher than Medicare for the conditions studied in 25 states and lower in 22, with an average excess over Medicare of six percent. *Id.* Without supplemental payments, Medicaid rates are often substantially lower than those for Medicare, ranging from an average of 70 percent for heart failure to 92 for pulmonary edema. *Id.*

⁹⁹ REPORT TO CONGRESS ON MEDICAID AND CHIP: MARCH 2021, MACPAC (Mar. 2021), <https://www.macpac.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/March-2021-Report-to-Congress-on-Medicaid-and-CHIP.pdf>, at 169.

¹⁰⁰ Susan Camilleri, *The ACA Medicaid Expansion, Disproportionate Share Hospitals, and Uncompensated Care*, 53 HEALTH SERV. RSCH. 1562 (2018).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

non-expansion states.¹⁰¹ The hospitals benefiting most were those with the highest proportions of low-income and uninsured patients.¹⁰²

Financial assistance from the Medicaid expansion has been an important factor in saving many hospitals from closure. A study published in 2018 found that hospitals in expansion states were six times less likely to close than those in non-expansion states.¹⁰³ The study did not include states that expanded Medicaid after 2014, raising the possibility that the effect nationally may have become even larger over time.

A study conducted in 2022 by the American Hospital Association found that 74 percent of rural hospital closures between 2010 and 2021 occurred in states in which the Medicaid expansion either was not in place or had been in place for less than a year.¹⁰⁴ During that period, 136 hospitals closed, with the largest number, 19, occurring in 2020 at the height of the Covid pandemic.¹⁰⁵ Medicaid, it appears, is especially important to struggling hospitals in times of crisis.¹⁰⁶

4. Support for Long-Term Care Providers

¹⁰¹ David Dranove, Craig Garthwaite & Christopher Ody, *Uncompensated Care Decreased at Hospitals in Medicaid Expansion States but Not at Hospitals in Nonexpansion States*, 35 HEALTH AFFS. 1471 (2016), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/full/10.1377/hlthaff.2015.1344>. This study also estimated that uncompensated care costs would have decreased by between 5.7 and 4.0 percent in nonexpansion states had they implemented the expansion. A later analysis by the same authors compared hospital financial performance in 2013, just before the expansion, and 2015, a year after it took effect and found a reduction in the overall hospital uncompensated burden from the expansion of between 3.9 and 2.3 percent of operating costs. Savings across all states were found to total \$6.2 billion. DAVID DRANOVE, CRAIG GARTHWAITE & CHRISTOPHER ODY, COMMONWEALTH FUND. THE IMPACT OF THE ACA'S MEDICAID EXPANSION ON HOSPITALS' UNCOMPENSATED CARE BURDEN AND THE POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF REPEAL (2017), available at https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/documents/_media_files_publications_issue_brief_2017_may_dranove_aca_medicaid_expansion_hospital_uncomp_care_ib.pdf.

¹⁰² *Id.* at 89. Among other research is a study focusing on the years 2016 and 2017 that found a decrease in uncompensated care costs and increase in Medicaid revenue for hospitals in expansion states of all ownership types, although with a larger effect on for-profit than on nonprofit hospitals. See Fredric Blavin & Christal Ramos, *Medicaid Expansion: Effects on Hospital Finances and Implications for Hospitals Facing COVID-19 Challenges*, 40 HEALTH AFFS. 82, 82 (2021).

¹⁰³ Richard C. Lindrooth et al., *Understanding the Relationship Between Medicaid Expansions and Hospital Closures*, 37 HEALTH AFFS. 111 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2017.0976>.

¹⁰⁴ AM. HOSP. ASS'N, RURAL HOSPITAL CLOSURES THREATEN ACCESS: SOLUTIONS TO PRESERVE CARE IN LOCAL COMMUNITIES 7 (2022), available at <https://www.aha.org/system/files/media/file/2022/09/rural-hospital-closures-threaten-access-report.pdf>.

¹⁰⁵ *Id.* at 5.

¹⁰⁶ Among other research findings, a 2018 study found that Medicaid was associated with increases in coverage, service use, and quality of care. See Olena Mazurenko et al., *The Effects of Medicaid Expansion under the ACA: A Systematic Review*, 37 HEALTH AFF. 944, 944 (2018). A 2017 study found that it was associated with improved quality of care at federally funded health centers. See Megan B. Cole et al., *At Federally Funded Health Centers, Medicaid Expansion Was Associated With Improved Quality of Care*, 36 HEALTH AFF. 40, 40 (2017). A 2020 study found that Medicaid was associated with improved health among the near-elderly. See Melissa McInerney et al., *ACA Medicaid Expansion Associated with Increased Medicaid Participation and Improved Health Among Near-Elderly: Evidence From the Health and Retirement Study.*, 57 INQUIRY: J. MED. CARE ORG., PROVISION, & FIN. 1, 1 (2020). And several studies have found that it improved the financial health of hospitals in states that accepted it. See BLAVIN & RAMOS, *supra* note 106 (finding early positive financial effects of the expansion on hospital finances that continued in 2016 and 2017 due to decreased uncompensated care and increased Medicaid revenue that produced increased financial margins).

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

As important as Medicaid is for hospitals, no sector of health care is more dependent on its coverage than nursing homes and other kinds of long-term care facilities.¹⁰⁷ In 2015, nursing home stays for 1.4 million people were covered by Medicaid in almost 16,000 facilities.¹⁰⁸ Medicaid beneficiaries, most of whom were elderly, represented about 60 percent of all nursing home residents, with a total cost to the program of \$55 billion.¹⁰⁹ With an average annual cost of about \$82,000 per stay and limited coverage under Medicare, few of them would have been able to afford these services without Medicaid.¹¹⁰

Support that sustains long-term care facilities also confers indirect benefits on millions of family members and others who serve as caregivers for frail elderly and disabled people.¹¹¹ They have been referred to as “invisible second patients.”¹¹² The burden of providing this care generates high rates of psychological morbidity, social isolation, physical ill-health, and financial hardship.¹¹³ It was estimated to affect 42.1 million family caregivers at any point in 2009 and 61.6 million nationwide over the course of that year, with the value of unpaid labor estimated at approximately \$450 billion.¹¹⁴ This care burden imposes further costs on caregivers in the form of lost income and on employers in the form of lost productivity of employees whose attention is diverted from their job.¹¹⁵ Caregivers for elderly and disabled family members may also have less time to care for their own children, which spreads the burden even further and may have consequences that ripple through subsequent generations.¹¹⁶

II. PUBLIC GOOD AND RELATED CONCEPTS

¹⁰⁷ Medicare is also an important source of funding for nursing home care, but its coverage is limited to 100 days in a skilled nursing facility immediately following an acute care hospital stay. It does not cover extended stays for those who need ongoing assistance with the tasks of daily living for an indefinite period of time. Medicaid coverage for institutional care applies to several different kinds of facilities, including skilled nursing, rehabilitation, less intensive long-term care, and intermediate care for patients with intellectual disability.

¹⁰⁸ *Medicaid's Role in Nursing Home Care*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (June 20, 2017), <https://www.kff.org/infographic/medicaids-role-in-nursing-home-care/>.

¹⁰⁹ *Id.* The total Medicaid budget in 2015 was \$553.8 billion. *Long Term Services & Supports*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/long-term-services-supports/index.html> (last visited Jan. 29, 2024).

¹¹⁰ *Id.* Private long-term care insurance is available to help cover the cost, but only 7.5 million Americans have purchased such coverage. *7.5 Million Americans Have Long-Term Care Insurance Protection*, AM. ASS'N FOR LONG-TERM CARE INS. (Jan. 14, 2020), <https://www.aaltci.org/news/long-term-care-insurance-news/7-5-million-americans-have-long-term-care-insurance-protection>.

¹¹¹ Henry Brodaty & Marika Donkin, *Family Caregivers of People with Dementia*, 11 DIALOGUES IN CLINICAL NEUROSCIENCE 217, 222-24 (2022).

¹¹² *Id.* at 217.

¹¹³ *Id.* at 219-20.

¹¹⁴ Lynn Feinberg et al., *Valuing the Invaluable: 2011 Update: The Growing Contributions and Costs of Family Caregiving*, AARP PUBLIC POLICY INSTITUTE 1 (2011), <https://www.beliveaulaw.net/wp-content/uploads/2011/08/AARPs-Valuing-the-Invaluable-2011-Update-The-Growing-Contributions-and-Costs-of-Family-Caregiving.pdf>.

¹¹⁵ Daniel W. L. Lai, *Effect of Financial Costs on Caregiving Burden of Family Caregivers of Older Adults*, SAGE OPEN ACCESS 1 (2012), <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2158244012470467>.

¹¹⁶ *Sharing Caregiving Responsibilities*, NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON AGING (Oct. 12, 2023), <https://www.nia.nih.gov/health/caregiving/sharing-caregiving-responsibilities>. (“About one in four caregivers care for their children as well as an aging parent or partner. These caregivers are referred to as the ‘sandwich generation.’ Sandwich generation caregivers may face additional emotional and financial challenges in caring for both children and parents.”).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

A. The Concept of Public Goods

Faith in the efficiency of free and competitive private markets in producing and allocating goods and services is a hallmark of traditional economics.¹¹⁷ Markets facilitate free interchange between buyers and sellers, which is at the core of a free-market economy.¹¹⁸ However, even the staunchest advocates of free markets acknowledge that they are not efficient at producing and allocating all goods and services.¹¹⁹ Markets are not even capable of supplying some of them, including many that are essential to public wellbeing. Instances such as this are referred to as “market failure.”¹²⁰

For private firms to provide a good or service, two conditions must be met.¹²¹ First, the seller must be able to limit access to the good or service to those who are willing to pay and to exclude those who are not. Such a product is considered “exclusive.” Second, once the good or service has been sold to one buyer, it must no longer be available for sale to another. Such a product is considered “rival.”¹²² “Public goods” are goods and services that do not meet these conditions.¹²³ A paradigm example of a public good is national defense, which is nonexcludable because it protects everyone living in the country regardless of whether they pay, and nonrival because the protection that one person receives does not diminish the protection for others.¹²⁴ It

Since private markets are not equipped to provide public goods, alternative mechanisms are needed if society is to have them.¹²⁵ These can take the form of private collective action,¹²⁶ however governments have the resources and public interest to be particularly effective at

¹¹⁷ See MILTON FRIEDMAN WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF ROSE D. FRIEDMAN, *CAPITALISM AND FREEDOM* (1962) (presenting an argument in favor of *laissez faire* economics).

¹¹⁸ See ADAM SMITH, *AN INQUIRY INTO THE NATURE AND CAUSES OF THE WEALTH OF NATIONS* (1776). Smith originated the notion that fair and just prices are ones that are arrived at when everyone freely knows the consequences of the entire price structure, which he argues requires free bargaining. He does identify problems that some would consider a healthy part of the free market like renterism.

¹¹⁹ FRIEDMAN, *supra* note 117, at 191 (“I am distressed by the sight of poverty; I am benefited by its alleviation; but I am benefited equally whether I or someone else pays for its alleviation.”). Friedman’s article discusses poverty relief as a nonexcludable good that is suboptimally supplied by private individuals in the free market, but a good that nonetheless benefits both the poor and nonpoor. *Id.*

¹²⁰ SHERMAN FOLLAND ET AL., *THE ECONOMICS OF HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE* 378 (Pearson/Prentice Hall 4th ed. 2004). See also Francis M. Bator, *The Anatomy of Market Failure*, 72 Q.J. Econ. 351, 351 (1958) (defining “market failure” as the failure of price-market institutions to sustain “desirable activities” or stop “undesirable activities”).

¹²¹ EDWIN MANSFIELD, *MICROECONOMICS: THEORY AND APPLICATIONS* 471 (3d ed. 1979).

¹²² FOLLAND ET AL., *supra* note 120, at 283.

¹²³ NIGAR HASHIMZADE ET AL., *Public Good*, in *A DICTIONARY OF ECONOMICS* (5th ed. 2017). The concept of public goods was first described by economist Paul Samuelson in 1954. See Paul Samuelson, *The Pure Theory of Public Expenditure*, 36 REV. ECON. STAT. 387 (1954).

¹²⁴ See FOLLAND ET AL. *supra* note 120. Another example is light from a lighthouse, which was essential for maritime navigation before GPS technology was developed. It is nonexclusive in that the lighthouse operator cannot prevent nonpaying ships from using it, and it is nonrival in that guidance by one ship does not diminish the supply of light for others. See Theresa Levitt, *Then Lighthouses Became Public Goods: The Role of Technological Change*, 61 TECH. & CULTURE 144, 144 (2020).

¹²⁵ Robert Baldwin, Martin Cave & Martin Lodge. *UNDERSTANDING REGULATION: THEORY, STRATEGY, AND PRACTICE*. Oxford University Press (2d ed. 2013) 15-25.

¹²⁶ W. Ver Eecke. *Public Goods: An Ideal Concept*. 28 J. SOC-ECON. 139, 140 (1999) (explaining... “...the concept of public goods has validity because it points to an opportunity for gain if collective action can be taken.”)

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

providing them.¹²⁷ Economists describe three forms that government intervention can take.¹²⁸ First, the government can regulate a private market to make it more amenable to competitive dynamics.¹²⁹ Second, it can subsidize the production or consumption of a needed good or service.¹³⁰ Third, it can directly provide a good or service, as in the provision of health insurance through Medicare and Medicaid.¹³¹

B. Related Concepts

1. Common Goods

Some essential goods and services that the market cannot provide meet some but not all of the criteria for public goods. They are nonexcludable or nonrival not because of intrinsic features but because of government action.¹³² These are known as “common goods.”¹³³

An example of a common good is public education.¹³⁴ on-excludability is not an intrinsic feature, since tuition could be imposed and students excluded for nonpayment. However, the government makes it nonexclusive by mandating that it be free and available to all children. It is also rival, since the supply of classrooms and teachers is limited, and a seat taken by one student is unavailable for others. Government intervention makes it nonrival, or at least less rival, by building more schools and hiring more teachers. This essential public benefit thereby gains key features of a public good, although it does not fit the idealized concept.¹³⁵

A system of universal health care in those nations that have it can be seen as a common good.¹³⁶ Like education, health care services can be denied to those who do not pay, but under a universal system, they are made nonexclusive by virtue of law. While the elements needed to provide them, such as facilities, drugs, and clinicians, are in finite supply and therefore rival, governments can subsidize their production to reduce their rival nature.

¹²⁷ ee Leonard Champney, *Public Goods and Policy Types*, 48 PUBLIC ADMIN. REV. 988 (1988), describing types of government policies that can be used to facilitate the production of public goods.

¹²⁸ *Id.*

¹²⁹ See *Id.* at 990 stating “overnment routinely regulates incorporated economic institutions. For example, the state attempts to produce the public good of environmental quality by compelling corporations to cease discharge of pollutants into the air and water.”

¹³⁰ *Id.*, stating “Distributive policy directly or indirectly offers rewards to corporations for activities that lead to the production of public goods or assumes the costs of these activities. Weapons procurement provides one example, as does subsidization of corporate activities deemed appropriate to the production of a clean environment.”

¹³¹ *Id.* at 991, describing “policies designed to *produce* public goods directly”

¹³² *Id.*

¹³³ Emma Sabzalieva & Jose Antonio Quinteiro, *Public Goods, Common Goods and Global Common Goods: A Brief Explanation*, UNESCO, <https://www.iesalc.unesco.org/en/2022/04/10/public-goods-common-goods-and-global-common-goods-a-brief-explanation/> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024).

¹³⁴ *Id.*

¹³⁵ *Id.*

¹³⁶ *Universal Health Insurance Is a Common Good*, ECONOMIST (Oct. 8, 2009), <https://www.economist.com/democracy-in-america/2009/10/08/universal-health-insurance-is-a-common-good>.

While systems of universal health care exist in most developed countries, the United States does not have one. See Bruce Vladeck, *Universal Health Insurance in the United States: Reflections on the Past, the Present, and the Future*, 93 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 16, (2003).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

2. Quasi-Public Goods

Some essential goods and services that have some of the attributes of public goods but fail to meet all the criteria may also be characterized as “quasi-public goods.”¹³⁷ Examples include goods that are nonexclusive and nonrival within limits.¹³⁸ Use of a public highway can be restricted by imposing a toll, however it would be infeasible to place tolls on all roads, so most are nonexclusive.¹³⁹ Similarly, one car’s use of the highway does not prevent others from using it, but if enough cars enter the highway, traffic will come to a standstill, making it rival. In response, the government can build more roads or alternative forms of transportation.

3. Externalities

Externalities arise when a transaction affects a party that is external to it.¹⁴⁰ The effects can be positive or negative. In the case of a positive externality, an outside party receives a windfall, as, for example, when a homeowner installs a smoke detector, which reduces the chance that a fire will spread to neighboring buildings. In the case of a negative externality, there is a cost to an outside party, as when a factory emits pollutants that cause health problems for nearby residents and physical damage to their homes.¹⁴¹ As with public goods, private markets will not mitigate the problem, since the factory has no financial incentive to reduce emissions.

Externalities, both positive and negative, are also sometimes referred to as “spillover effects.”¹⁴² These are effects of an activity that “spill over” from that activity to affect others who are not directly involved. In addition to producing consequences for individuals, spillover effects can have broader social consequences.¹⁴³ For example, a positive effect of public education is a more informed and productive population.¹⁴⁴ A negative effect of a polluting factory is a lower quality of life for an entire region.¹⁴⁵

III. PUBLIC GOODS CREATED BY MEDICAID

¹³⁷ WILLIAM A. McEACHERN, *ECONOMICS: A CONTEMPORARY INTRODUCTION* 341 (2003) (defining “quasi-public goods” as “[a] good that is nonrival but exclusive is called a quasi-public good”).

¹³⁸ A similar concept is that of “club goods”, which are produced by voluntary associations of individuals for their mutual benefit. For example, members of a professional may form a professional association to monitor the quality of care rendered by its members. See stating “a club good differs from a private good in that it “belongs” to and is used by a limited voluntary association of decision-makers who face collective decision-making costs.” See Bruce L. Benson, *Are Roads Public Goods, Private Goods, Club Goods, or Common Pools?*, in *EXPLORATIONS IN PUBLIC SECTOR ECONOMICS* 174 (Joshua Hall, ed., 2017).

¹³⁹ *Id.*

¹⁴⁰ Thalia Gonzalez & Giovanni Saarmann, *Regulating Pollutants, Negative Externalities, and Good Neighbor Agreements: Who Bears the Burden of Protecting Communities?*, 41 *ECOLOGY L. Q.* 37, 39 (2014) (“This structure allows market mechanisms to impose the burden on communities to mitigate the negative externalities of pollution by engaging in environmental policing.”).

¹⁴¹ *Id.*

¹⁴² See EMMA HUTCHINSON, *PRINCIPLES OF MICROECONOMICS*, 289-301 (2017). “Because externalities that occur in market transactions affect other parties beyond those involved, they are sometimes called spillovers.” *Id.* at 293.

¹⁴³ See *id.* at 289-301.

¹⁴⁴ *Spillover Effect*, CORPORATE FINANCE INSTITUTE, <https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/economics/spillover-effect/> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024).

¹⁴⁵ GONZALEZ & SAARMAN, *supra* note 140, at 39.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

Health care services provided to individual patients have the characteristics of private goods.¹⁴⁶ Clinicians can, and usually do, provide their services only to patients who pay, either with their own funds or those of a third-party payer, making them exclusive. Clinicians also have a limited amount of time to devote to patient care, and in the case of specialists, a limited number of procedures they can perform in a day. Since there is a finite number of clinicians, the supply of these services is exhaustible, making them rival. Similarly, use of a hospital bed is exclusive in that it can be denied to patients who do not pay, and the supply of hospital beds is limited, making them rival.¹⁴⁷

However, even though the provision of health care to an individual patient is a private good, it creates substantial benefits for the larger society.¹⁴⁸ Some are significant enough to form foundations of the overall health care system and thereby of community and national wellbeing. They are nonexclusive, being available without regard to payment, and nonrival, existing in inexhaustible supply. This leaves the private market ill-equipped to produce them. By expanding the number of people who receive health care, Medicaid makes their creation possible. This section describes eight of the most important.

A. Health Security and Health System Sustainability

1. Hospital Viability

In supporting the financial viability of thousands of hospitals, Medicaid promotes health security for the surrounding communities.¹⁴⁹ This creates peace-of-mind for residents in knowing that hospital services are available in time of need even if they never actually receive those services. Beyond this psychic benefit, this health security increases the livability and desirability of neighborhoods, as well as housing values. Hospitals also provide preventive services that directly protect community residents' health, as discussed in subsection E below.

These benefits are public goods. They are nonexclusive, as they cannot be withheld from those who do not pay, and they are nonrival, as one person's health security does not diminish the

¹⁴⁶ Timothy Besley & Stephen Coate. *Public Provision of Private Goods and The Redistribution of Income*, 81 AM. ECON. REV. 979, 979 (1991) ("Most governments devote considerable resources to the provision of private goods such as health, housing, and education.").

¹⁴⁷ As a general rule, hospitals can prospectively deny access to beds for elective care for patients who cannot demonstrate the ability to pay, generally by providing evidence of insurance.

¹⁴⁸ Industries other than hospitals also benefit from Medicaid. Among the most significant is the insurance industry. Every state relies on private insurance companies to administer Medicare benefits to all least some of its beneficiaries, in many states to most. Some that serve this market rely on Medicaid for most of their business. For example, Molina derives 89.3 percent of its enrollment from Medicaid and Centene derives 53.3 percent. See Gaby Galvin, *For-Profit Insurers Poised to Benefit if Democrats Succeed at Plugging Medicaid Coverage Gap*, MORNING CONSULT (July 21, 2021), <https://morningconsult.com/2021/07/21/medicaid-expansion-for-profit-insurers-business/>. As Medicaid enrollment grows, so do the fortunes of these companies. When the Covid pandemic drove more people to Medicaid for health care coverage, Molina saw a 21.8 percent jump in enrollment and Centene a 56.7 percent jump. *Id.* Other companies seeing increases in enrollment for their Medicaid line of business included Aetna at 39.2 percent, Anthem at 21.8 percent, and UnitedHealth at 12.2 percent. *Id.*

¹⁴⁹ See Saroush Saghaian, Lina D. Song & Ali S. Raja, *Towards a More Efficient Healthcare System: Opportunities and Challenges Caused by Hospital Closures Amid the COVID-19 Pandemic*, 25 HEALTH CARE MGMT. SCI. 187 (2022), <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10729-022-09591-7>.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

supply available for others. Their production would be substantially reduced were it not for Medicaid's help in enabling hospitals across the country to remain open.

Inpatient hospital capacity is especially important for health security in times of crisis. This was seen poignantly when the demand for intensive care beds exceeded the supply in many hospitals during the early months of the Covid pandemic.¹⁵⁰ Other kinds of emergencies, such as natural disasters, can also stress hospital resources.¹⁵¹ Such crises are infrequent, but when they occur, the consequences of undercapacity can be dire. With fewer hospitals, there would be less capacity to expand when it is needed.¹⁵²

2. Emergency Services

Few of the services that hospitals provide are more vital to a community than emergency care. On an individual level, it is a private good that can be withheld from those who are not able or willing to pay, either with insurance or their own funds. It is available in limited supply, as there is a finite quantity of beds, clinician's time, and supplies. However, as with other aspects of hospital care, the availability of emergency services benefits a much wider population than just the patients who receive them.¹⁵³ The assurance that help is available when urgently needed provides peace-of-mind regarding a vital concern. This is especially important for segments of the population with special health care needs, such as parents of young children and the elderly.

The Emergency Treatment and Active Labor Act of 1986 (EMTALA)¹⁵⁴ mandates that every hospital that receives Medicare reimbursement, a category that includes all but a handful, assess and stabilize all patients who come to their emergency departments regardless of ability to pay.¹⁵⁵ Although this law does not require treatment beyond assessment and stabilization, these services mean that an urgent threat to a patient's life or health must be addressed. The law also prohibits delays in providing care that is time-sensitive to inquire about coverage.¹⁵⁶ These provisions make emergency care nonexclusive, giving it the characteristics of a common good.¹⁵⁷

¹⁵⁰ Reed Abelson, *Covid Overload: U.S. Hospitals Are Running Out of Beds for Patients*, N.Y. TIMES (Nov. 27, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/11/27/health/covid-hospitals-overload.html>.

¹⁵¹ See Sue Ann Bell et al., *All-Cause Hospital Admissions Among Older Adults After a Natural Disaster*, 71 ANNALS EMERGENCY MED. 746, 752 (2018) (finding that all-cause hospital admissions increased in the 30 days following a major hurricane and concluding "[t]he results of this study point to the need for building community and health care system resilience to account for health care needs of older adults after a disaster").

¹⁵² PAIN, HOSSEINI & BROWN, *supra* note 77.

¹⁵³ Renee Y Hsia, Arthur L. Kellermann & Yu-Chu Shen, *Why Are Many Emergency Departments in the United States Closing?*, RAND HEALTH, https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB9607.html (last visited Jan. 29, 2024) [hereinafter *Why Are Many Emergency Departments in the United States Closing?*].

¹⁵⁴ 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd.

¹⁵⁵ Joseph Zibulewsky, *The Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (Emtala): What It Is and What It Means for Physicians*, 14 BAYLOR UNIV. MED. CTR. PROC. 339, 340-343 (2017), <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08998280.2001.11927785>.

¹⁵⁶ EMTALA also does not establish a standard for quality of care. Courts have denied recovery for substandard care, if there was no discrimination between paying and nonpaying patients. See *Baber v. Hosp. Corp. of Am.*, 977 F.2d 872, 878 (4th Cir. 1992).

¹⁵⁷ Although EMTALA requires that emergency care be provided to all who present themselves, emergency departments can become overcrowded, forcing hospitals to divert ambulances to other facilities. See Marina Sartini et al. *Overcrowding in Emergency Department: Causes, Consequences, and Solutions – A Narrative Review*, 10 HEALTHCARE 1625 (2022), doi: 10.3390/healthcare10091625. In this situation, emergency care has the qualities of a rival good, even if government action has made it nonexclusive.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

EMTALA does not require that care be provided without payment.¹⁵⁸ A hospital may try to collect reimbursement from a patient's insurance or from him or her directly after care has been rendered. However, many patients lack insurance or other financial means of making payment, and they present an obvious financial drain on hospitals. Medicaid makes instances of nonpayment by patients without financial resources far less frequent than it would otherwise be.¹⁵⁹ Without it, the burden of caring for nonpaying patients under EMTALA would present a much greater threat to hospitals' financial stability.¹⁶⁰

Even with Medicaid, a growing number of hospitals have found the cost of maintaining emergency departments unsustainable and have closed them. A study of closures in nonrural areas found a decline from 2,446 in 1990 to 1,779 in 2009.¹⁶¹ It found closures to be especially prevalent among hospitals that had the highest share of Medicaid patients among those within a 15-mile radius.¹⁶² The authors noted that emergency department closures cause much more than just inconvenience for patients.¹⁶³ They decrease access for everyone in the community, even those with private insurance, and can disrupt hospital care in an entire region. In addition to increasing the distance to the nearest facility, they increase patient loads at those hospitals that do maintain emergency departments, which can lead to overcrowding and longer waiting times.¹⁶⁴ Moreover, patients who come to crowded emergency departments are more likely to leave without being seen, which is associated with higher rates of adverse outcomes.¹⁶⁵ Other research has found that when hospital closures increase the distance to the nearest hospital, mortality rates from medical emergencies, such as heart attacks and traumatic injuries, increase.¹⁶⁶

Without Medicaid, closures of emergency department would almost certainly be more frequent. There would be many more nonpaying patients, which would make their continued

¹⁵⁸ The law has important limitations. Hospitals need only treat patients within the scope of their capabilities and can transfer patients to other facilities if additional resources are needed. A patient can be discharged without additional care if the hospital determines after an assessment that he or she does not have an emergency condition or has had one and been stabilized.

¹⁵⁹ See *Medicaid for the Treatment of an Emergency Medical Condition Fact Sheet*, N.Y. STATE DEPT. OF HEALTH. (Mar. 2021), https://www.health.ny.gov/health_care/medicaid/emergency_medical_condition_faq.htm.

¹⁶⁰ ZIBULEWSKY, *supra* note 155, at 346.

¹⁶¹ Renee Y. Hsia, Arthur L. Kellermann & Yu-Chu Shen, *Factors Associated with Closures of Emergency Departments in the United States*, 305 J. AM. MED. ASS'N 1978, 1978 (2011) [hereinafter *Factors Associated with Closures of Emergency Departments in the United States*].

¹⁶² *Id.* at 1980.

¹⁶³ *Id.* at 1984.

¹⁶⁴ When Hahnemann Hospital closed, the closest emergency department was operated by Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, located about a mile away. See Sarah I. Kamel et al., *Impact of the Closure of a Large, Urban Safety-Net Hospital on a Neighboring Academic Center: A Philadelphia Case Study*, 17 HEALTH SERVS. RSCH. & POL'Y 1123, 1123 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2020.04.001>. In the two months after Hahnemann closed, Jefferson experienced an increase in the number of emergency department patients of more than 20 percent and an overall increase in patient volume of 23 percent. *Id.* at 1124. As the number of patients at Jefferson's emergency department increased, the median time from patient registration to evaluation grew by 82 percent as compared to the months before the closure. *Id.* at 1124.

¹⁶⁵ Jesse M. Pines et al., *The Association Between Emergency Department Crowding and Adverse Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Chest Pain*, 16 ACAD. EMERG. MED. 617, 623 (2009) (concluding "[a]t our institution, there was an association between higher rates of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and some measures of higher ED crowding").

¹⁶⁶ See Thomas C. Buchmueller, Mireille Jacobson & Cheryl Wold, *How Far to the Hospital? The Effect of Hospital Closures on Access to Care*, 25 J. HEALTH ECON. 740 (2006).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

operation less financially sustainable.¹⁶⁷ Even those who never need to use emergency services would feel the effects.

3. Financial Viability of Long-Term Care Providers

Millions of people who are unable to care for themselves rely on long-term care from a range of providers. Skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) care for patients who are not sick enough to need the services of an acute-care hospital but are unable to perform basic activities of daily living and need intensive ongoing inpatient care.¹⁶⁸ Less intensive facilities care for patients who need a lesser level of care but are too frail to live on their own, many of whom are elderly.¹⁶⁹ Other providers offer outpatient care in community settings and in patients' homes.¹⁷⁰ As of 2016, there were 15,600 nursing homes in the United States,¹⁷¹ 28,900 other long-term care facilities including assisted living facilities,¹⁷² 4,600 adult day services centers, and 12,200 home health agencies.¹⁷³ More than 8.3 million people received services from at least one of these kinds of providers.¹⁷⁴

Inpatient stays in nursing homes can be extremely expensive, with a cost that is beyond the means of most patients.¹⁷⁵ Only a small percentage of Americans have private long-term care insurance that covers the cost.¹⁷⁶ Medicare, which is available to almost all Americans age 65 and over, covers the cost of up to 100 days in a SNF immediately following discharge from an acute-care hospital.¹⁷⁷ However, it does not cover extended stays in these or in less intensive facilities. Medicaid, in contrast, provides coverage as a mandatory benefit in every state.¹⁷⁸ The coverage is known as "long-term services and supports" and was used by almost six million Medicaid beneficiaries in 2020.¹⁷⁹

¹⁶⁷ A study of hospitals with emergency departments in New York State between 2004 and 2010 found that those most likely to close were in counties with the highest levels of uninsurance. See David C. Lee et al., *The Impact of Hospital Closures and Hospital and Population Characteristics on Increasing Emergency Department Volume: A Geographic Analysis*, 18 POPULATION HEALTH MGMT. 459 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1089/pop.2014.0123>.

¹⁶⁸ See *What is Nursing Home Level of Care & Its Importance to Medicaid Eligibility*, AM. COUNCIL ON AGING, <https://www.medicaidplanningassistance.org/nursing-home-level-of-care/> (Mar. 15, 2022).

¹⁶⁹ *Id.*

¹⁷⁰ *Id.*

¹⁷¹ See *Nursing Home Care*, CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION, <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/nursing-home-care.htm> (Sept. 6, 2022).

¹⁷² See Lauren Harris-Kojetin et al., *Long-Term Care Providers and Services Users in the United States, 2015-2016*, 3 NAT'L CTR. FOR HEALTH STAT. VITAL HEALTH STAT., no. 43, Feb. 2019, at 1, https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/series/sr_03/sr03_43-508.pdf.

¹⁷³ *Id.* at 1.

¹⁷⁴ *Id.*

¹⁷⁵ See Manuel Eskildsen & Thomas Price, *Nursing Home Care in the USA*, 9 GERIATRICS & GERONTOLOGY INT'L 1, 5 (2009), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1111/j.1447-0594.2008.00513.x>.

¹⁷⁶ *Id.*

¹⁷⁷ CTRS. FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVS., CMS PRODUCT NO. 10153, MEDICARE COVERAGE OF SKILLED NURSING FACILITY CARE (2019), <https://www.medicare.gov/Pubs/pdf/10153-Medicare-Skilled-Nursing-Facility-Care.pdf>

¹⁷⁸ *Medicaid Coverage of Nursing Home Care: When, Where and How Much They Pay*, AM. COUNCIL ON AGING, <https://www.medicaidplanningassistance.org/medicaid-and-nursing-homes/> (last updated Dec. 26, 2022).

¹⁷⁹ Pryia Chidabaram & Alice Burns, *How Many People Use Medicaid Long-Term Services and Supports and How Much Does Medicaid Spend on Those People? (Figure 1)*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Aug. 14, 2023), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/how-many-people-use-medicaid-long-term-services-and-supports-and-how-much-does-medicaid-spend-on-those-people/>.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

As a result of this coverage, Medicaid is the primary payer for nursing home care in the United States.¹⁸⁰ It covers 60 percent of the 1.4 million residents in these facilities.¹⁸¹ The cost amounted to almost \$55 billion in 2015, representing 35 percent of state Medicaid spending.¹⁸² With this level of support, Medicaid is the financial foundation for much of the industry.¹⁸³

Nursing homes do not serve as mainstays of many communities in the same way as acute-care hospitals. They are not as large, they do not employ as many people, and they serve a smaller segment of the population. Moreover, they are subject to frequent complaints concerning the quality of care.¹⁸⁴ Nevertheless, they are an important source of care not only for patients who are unable to care for themselves but also for family members who would have to find alternative sources of care without them. Moreover, many family caregivers who work outside the home would be unable to continue to do so, placing financial pressure on them,¹⁸⁵ as well as a potentially severe emotional toll.¹⁸⁶

The services rendered by long-term care providers are private goods in that they can be, and usually are, denied to those without a source of payment, and they are in limited supply. However, even with constrained availability in some areas, their existence provides reassurance for many frail residents and their families that resources for care exist. The resulting peace-of-mind is inexhaustible and free of charge.

B. Mitigating Health Care and Social Inequities

1. Improved Hospital Access in Poorer Communities

While entire communities benefit when everyone has access to health care, the availability of those services is not evenly or equitably distributed.¹⁸⁷ They are used far more by people with higher than with lower incomes with the same health status.¹⁸⁸ This is in part because hospitals, physicians and other providers are often more difficult to find in poorer neighborhoods than in wealthier ones.¹⁸⁹ Such maldistribution in access to and use of health care can diminish not only

¹⁸⁰ See *Medicaid's Role in Nursing Home Care*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (June 20, 2017), <https://www.kff.org/infographic/medicaids-role-in-nursing-home-care/>.

¹⁸¹ *Id.*

¹⁸² *Id.*

¹⁸³ However, to qualify for Medicaid, beneficiaries must have incomes that fall below the thresholds specified in each state and have limited financial assets.

¹⁸⁴ David R. Zimmerman, *Improving Nursing Home Quality of Care Through Outcomes Data: The MDS Quality Indicators*, 18 INT'L J. GERIATRIC PSYCHIATRY 250 (2003), <https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.820>.

¹⁸⁵ See Mei-Lan Chen, *The Growing Costs and Burden of Family Caregiving of Older Adults: A Review of Paid Sick Leave and Family Leave Policies*, 56 GERONTOLOGIST 391, 391-92 (2014).

¹⁸⁶ See Deborah Majerovitz, *Predictors of Burden and Depression Among Nursing Home Family Caregivers*, 11 AGING & MENTAL HEALTH 323, 326-27 (2006).

¹⁸⁷ See Stuart M. Butler, *Achieving an Equitable National Health System for America*, BROOKINGS (Dec. 9, 2020), <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/achieving-an-equitable-national-health-system-for-america/>.

¹⁸⁸ FOLLAND ET AL., *supra* note 122, at 383.

¹⁸⁹ See Genevieve P. Kanter, Andrea G. Segal & Peter W. Groeneveld, *Income Disparities in Access to Critical Care Services*, 39 HEALTH AFFS. 1362 (2020) (stating that 49 percent of the lowest-income communities had no ICU beds in their communities, whereas only 3 percent of the highest-income communities had no ICU beds. Income disparities in the availability of community ICU beds were more acute in rural areas than in urban areas.).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

the health of those who have difficulty finding a source of care but also the health security that a robust health care infrastructure provides.

The effects of Medicaid in increasing equity in access to health care is demonstrated by statistics cited by sociologist Paul Starr.¹⁹⁰ In 1964, the year before Medicaid's enactment, Americans with incomes above the poverty line saw physicians about 20 times more frequently than those with incomes below it. By 1975, the situation had reversed, with poor patients seeing physicians 18 percent more often than those who were not poor. In 1964, whites saw physicians 42 percent more often than Blacks. By 1973, the difference had shrunk to 13 percent. In 1963, patients with incomes below \$2,000 a year had half the number of surgical procedures per 100 people as those with incomes above \$7,500. By 1970, the rate for the lower income group was 40 percent higher. Starr attributes the change mostly to Medicaid, noting that poor people who were eligible for Medicaid used health care services that year more often than those who were not eligible.¹⁹¹

Similar effects are demonstrated by studies of the effects of the ACA's Medicaid expansion. A study comparing rates of uninsurance in states that expanded Medicaid and those that did not found that rates of uninsurance were 8.2 lower in expansion states and rates of Medicaid participation were 15.6 percent higher.¹⁹² Expansion states also had 3.4 percent fewer reports of inability to afford follow-up care and 7.9 percent fewer reports of worries about paying medical bills.¹⁹³ In the first states to expand Medicaid under the ACA, primary care physicians saw an increase of 29 percent in Medicaid visits.¹⁹⁴ By one estimate, the program's expansion under the ACA saved the lives of 19,200 adults aged 55 to 64 in its first four years alone.¹⁹⁵

Medicaid is especially important in compensating for lower rates of private insurance among Blacks. They are more likely than whites to be employed in low-wage jobs that do not provide coverage and less likely to be able to afford individual coverage, making them more likely to turn to Medicaid.¹⁹⁶ In 2020, Medicaid covered about three in ten nonelderly adults who were Black, Native American, and Pacific Islander and more than two in ten who were Hispanic.¹⁹⁷ The comparable figure for whites was 17 percent.¹⁹⁸

Medicaid also offers states considerable flexibility to try innovative approaches to reducing health disparities through waivers. In particular, several states have requested section 1115 waivers to address specific social determinants of health.¹⁹⁹ These include programs to devote

¹⁹⁰ PAUL STARR, *THE SOCIAL TRANSFORMATION OF AMERICAN MEDICINE* 373 (1982).

¹⁹¹ *See id.*

¹⁹² *See* Sarah Miller & Laura R. Wherry, *Health and Access to Care During the First 2 Years of the ACA Medicaid Expansions*, 376 NEW ENG. J. MED. 947 (2017), <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMsa1612890>.

¹⁹³ *Id.*

¹⁹⁴ *See* Visla Curto & Monica Bhole, *Impacts of Early ACA Medicaid Expansions on Physician Participation*, 57 HEALTH SERV. RSCH. 881 (2021), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/1475-6773.13925>.

¹⁹⁵ MILLER & WHERRY, *supra* note 192.

¹⁹⁶ *See* Madeline Guth et al., *Medicaid and Racial Health Equity*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (June 2, 2023), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-and-racial-health-equity/>.

¹⁹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹⁹ *See* Elizabeth Hinton & Lina Stolyar, *Medicaid Authorities and Options to Address Social Determinants of Health (SDOH)*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Aug. 5, 2021), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-authorities-and-options-to-address-social-determinants-of-health-sdoh/> (explaining that states can use waivers to “to add certain non-clinical services to the Medicaid benefit package including case management, housing supports, employment supports, and peer services”).

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

funds to help with housing,²⁰⁰ and to advancing health equity more broadly.²⁰¹ For example, Massachusetts²⁰² and Vermont²⁰³ have requested authority to direct more spending to collecting data on health disparities. In the words of Medicaid scholar Sara Rosenbaum, “There simply is no counterpart to this special legal authority, one that enables Medicaid to be fully transformational extending beyond the traditional roles of insurance.”²⁰⁴

States can also leverage contracts with managed care organizations (MCOs) that administer Medicaid benefits to promote health equity.²⁰⁵ Federal regulations require that states publicly post quality strategies for MCOs that include reduction of health disparities.²⁰⁶ Several states also require MCOs to implement performance improvement projects that address disparities.²⁰⁷ Most states require MCOs to screen new beneficiaries for social and behavioral health needs and to make referrals for social services when such needs are identified.²⁰⁸

In addition to ameliorating health care disparities based on income, Medicaid has been found to reduce them between rural and urban areas, especially for children.²⁰⁹ During the period from 2014 to 2015, 45 percent of children in rural areas and small towns were enrolled in Medicaid, as opposed to 38 percent in urban areas.²¹⁰ In 14 states, more than half of the children living in rural areas were enrolled in Medicaid.²¹¹

Everyone benefits, either directly or indirectly, when health disparities are reduced. It creates a healthier population in which illness is less prevalent, and it lessens animosities that can be caused by the unequal allocation of essential resources. State Medicaid waivers are particularly important in this regard by permitting experimentation that makes the program, in the words of one analysis, “a beacon of innovation and empowerment of local, on-the-ground voices to shape how the program runs, state by state.”²¹²

2. Reduction in Overall Poverty

²⁰⁰ *How States Use Federal Medicaid Authorities to Finance Housing-Related Services*, NAT’L ACAD. FOR STATE HEALTH POL’Y (Mar. 8, 2021), <https://nashp.org/how-states-use-federal-medicaid-authorities-to-finance-housing-related-services/> (noting “[t]rends across housing-related 1115 waivers show that states target different groups, but primarily focus on individuals with high emergency department use, SUDs, and serious mental illness (SMI)”).

²⁰¹ GUTH ET AL., *supra* note 196 (noting that “states have increasingly requested and/or received approval for waivers that aim to advance equity”).

²⁰² *State Waivers List: MassHealth*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demo/demonstration-and-waiver-list/82006> (Nov. 7, 2022).

²⁰³ *State Waivers List: Vermont Global Commitment to Health*, MEDICAID.GOV, <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/section-1115-demo/demonstration-and-waiver-list/83391> (Oct. 12, 2022).

²⁰⁴ Sara Rosenbaum, *A Program for All Seasons*, 13 ST. LOUIS U. J. HEALTH L. & POL’Y 5, 15 (2019).

²⁰⁵ GUTH ET AL., *supra* note 196. See also Kevin H Nguyen et al., *Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Patient Experience of Care Among Nonelderly Medicaid Managed Care Enrollees*, 41 HEALTH AFFS. 256 (2022), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/epdf/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01331>.

²⁰⁶ See GUTH ET AL., *supra* note 196.

²⁰⁷ *Id.*

²⁰⁸ *Id.*

²⁰⁹ JACK HOADLEY, KARINA WAGNERMAN, JOAN ALKER & MARK HOLMES, *MEDICAID IN SMALL TOWNS AND RURAL AMERICA: A LIFELINE FOR CHILDREN, FAMILIES, AND COMMUNITIES* 3 (2017), available at <https://ccf.georgetown.edu/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/Rural-health-final.pdf>.

²¹⁰ *Id.*

²¹¹ *Id.* at 5.

²¹² *Id.*

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

Beyond its effect in reducing disparities in health and health care, Medicaid has had even broader repercussions in reducing overall poverty. One study of the economic effects of Medicaid examined the relationship between income and affordability of essential expenses.²¹³ When health care was considered as one of those expenses, Medicaid was shown to reduce the rate of poverty by 2.5 percent among those younger than age 65, the age of eligibility for Medicare, assuming they would otherwise be uninsured.²¹⁴ In fostering this reduction, it also reduced disparities in rates of poverty according to race, ethnicity, and single parenthood.²¹⁵

A study of hospital closures during the period between 1990 and 2000 found a long-term decrease in real per capita income in the surrounding communities of about \$703 in 1990 dollars.²¹⁶ This was estimated to represent a decrease of four percent during the first year after closure. The long-term decrease was projected to be 1.5 percent.²¹⁷ As discussed in section IIA, without Medicaid, such closures would be far more frequent.

A study of the Medicaid expansion in Virginia under the ACA found that in the year following implementation in 2019, newly enrolled beneficiaries reported decreases in concerns about a range of financial needs, including housing, food, regular monthly bills, credit card debt, and loan payments.²¹⁸ The reductions were similar across all demographic subgroups, suggesting that Medicaid serves as a general antipoverty program for all members of a community. In addition to the economic benefits, reduction of poverty has been shown to mitigate social factors that contribute to poorer health, such as lower socioeconomic status, lesser educational attainment, poorer neighborhood and physical environment, unemployment, and lack of social support networks.²¹⁹

When the rate of poverty declines, communities also benefit in other ways, both direct and indirect.²²⁰ Individuals who are no longer poor are more likely to have the financial resources to purchase goods and services, thereby helping local businesses. They are less likely to need assistance from other government programs, benefiting taxpayers. Children are more likely to grow up in stable households in which they are adequately nourished and educated, enhancing the community's future wellbeing. Crime is likely to be lower, reducing a source of daily stress.

²¹³ See Naomi Zewde, Dahlia Remler, Rosemary Hyson & Sanders Korenman et al., *Improving Estimates of Medicaid's Effect on Poverty: Measures and Counterfactuals*, 56 HEALTH SERVS. RSCH. 1190 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13699>.

²¹⁴ *Id.*

²¹⁵ *Id.*

²¹⁶ George M. Holmes, Rebecca T. Slifkin, Randy K. Randolph & Stephanie Poley, *The Effect of Rural Hospital Closures on Community Economic Health*, 41 HEALTH SERVS. RSCH. 467 (2006), <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2005.00497.x>.

²¹⁷ *Id.* The authors of this study suggested that rural hospitals faced particular financial pressure from Medicare's switch to a prospective payment system of hospital reimbursement in the 1980s, noting the link between government reimbursement and hospital survival.

²¹⁸ Hannah Shadowen et al., *Virginia Medicaid Expansion: New Members Report Reduced Financial Concern During the COVID-19 Pandemic*, 41 HEALTH AFFS. 1078 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01910>.

²¹⁹ Samantha Artiga & Elizabeth Hinton, *Beyond Health Care: The Role of Social Determinants in Promoting Health and Health Equity*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (May 10, 2018), <https://www.kff.org/racial-equity-and-health-policy/issue-brief/beyond-health-care-the-role-of-social-determinants-in-promoting-health-and-health-equity/>.

²²⁰ See U.S. DEPT. OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVS., OFF. OF DISEASE PREVENTION & HEALTH PROMOTION, HEALTHY PEOPLE 2030 – POVERTY, <https://health.gov/healthypeople/priority-areas/social-determinants-health/literature-summaries/poverty> (last visited Sept. 14, 2024) (listing several community benefits from reducing poverty).

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

These are positive externalities of enhanced access to health care, available as public goods to the entire community.

C. Enhancing Economic Productivity and Growth

Beyond its health and societal benefits, Medicaid is an engine for overall economic growth. Most directly, it sends large amounts of funding to a range of private businesses. In 2019, \$310 billion in Medicaid funds flowed to managed care companies that administer the program, \$130 billion to long-term care providers, \$96 billion to physicians and laboratories, \$64 billion to hospitals, and \$6 billion to drug companies and pharmacies through the purchase of prescription drugs.²²¹ It also supports the economy in two important indirect ways: maintaining the health of the workforce, and supporting job creation by health care facilities.

1. Healthier Workforce

People with better access to care are likely to be healthier, a relationship that is reflected in the findings of several research studies.²²² From an economic perspective, that makes them more likely to be productive.²²³ If they are working, they are less likely to miss work time²²⁴ and to suffer from chronic conditions that can reduce their productivity.²²⁵ One study estimated that an employer with 10,000 workers could face almost \$3.8 million in productivity loss each year from ill workers.²²⁶ When children are the beneficiaries of a government program, for example through a Medicaid waiver or CHIP, their parents are less likely to miss work to care for them. Coworkers may also benefit from smoother work routines when there are fewer absences.²²⁷

²²¹ *Id.*

²²² See Darcey J. McMaughan, Oluyomi Oloruntoba & Matthew L. Smith, *Socioeconomic Status and Access to Healthcare: Interrelated Drivers for Healthy Aging*, 8 FRONTIERS PUB. HEALTH, art. 231 (2020), at 1, 5, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00231> (showing that substantial evidence exists to support the strong interplay between SES, healthcare access, and healthy aging).

²²³ See Thomas Parry, Ronald C. Kessler & Kimberly Jinnett, *Health and Productivity as a Business Strategy: A Multiemployer Study*, 51 J. OCCUPATIONAL & ENV'T MED. 411 (2009) (stating that the health-related productivity costs of illness have been found to be larger than direct medical and pharmacy costs).

²²⁴ See Ron Z Goetzel et al., *Health, Absence, Disability, and Presenteeism Cost Estimates of Certain Physical and Mental Health Conditions Affecting US Employers*, 46 J. OCCUPATIONAL & ENV'T MED. 398 (2004).

²²⁵ *Id.* (noting that chronic conditions that are especially likely to reduce worker productivity include depression, anxiety, obesity, arthritis, and back pain).

²²⁶ See Rebecca J. Mitchell & Paul Bates, *Measuring Health-Related Productivity Loss*, 14 POPULATION HEALTH MGMT. 93 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1089/pop.2010.0014>.

²²⁷ An illustration of the importance of healthy workforces to employers is the prevalence of workplace wellness programs. Four out of five large employers have implemented them. See GARY CLAXTON ET AL., KAISER FAM. FOUND., EMPLOYER HEALTH BENEFITS 2018 ANNUAL SURVEY (2018), <http://files.kff.org/attachment/Report-Employer-Health-Benefits-Annual-Survey-2018>. The primary goal is to reduce the incidence of chronic conditions that can adversely affect productivity and to help workers who have them manage their conditions more effectively. See Jean Marie Anderson, *Employer Wellness Programs – A Work in Progress*, 321 JAMA 1462 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.3376>.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

Healthy workers are also less likely to file health insurance claims. If their coverage is through an employer plan, this may help to reduce premiums.²²⁸ If the insurance is through Medicare, Medicaid or another government program, it may reduce government expenditures.

Nevertheless, many employers are unable to afford the cost of offering health insurance to their workers.²²⁹ To obtain coverage, many of their lower-paid employees turn to Medicaid and subsidized insurance through the ACA.²³⁰ For these organizations, the availability of these programs provides a crucial resource for maintaining employee health.

2. Hospitals as Job Creators

In addition to enhancing the health of communities, hospitals are a significant contributor to overall economic vitality, often more so than any other industry. The United States has about 5,000 community hospitals,²³¹ which admit more than 31 million patients a year.²³² They generate more than \$1.1 trillion a year in spending,²³³ which represents about five percent of the country's gross domestic product.²³⁴ In 2016, Medicaid payments to hospitals totaled \$89.3 billion,²³⁵ and in 2018 these payments accounted for 18 percent of total hospital spending.²³⁶

²²⁸ HUGH WATERS & MARLON GRAF, MILKEN INST., THE COST OF CHRONIC DISEASE IN THE U.S. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY (2018), <https://assets1b.milkeninstitute.org/assets/Publication/Viewpoint/PDF/Chronic-Disease-Executive-Summary-r2.pdf>.

²²⁹ 2021 Health Benefits Survey, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Nov. 10, 2021), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/ehbs-2021-section-2-health-benefits-offer-rates/> (“Firms not offering health benefits continue to cite cost as the most important reason they do not do so.”).

²³⁰ Rachel Garfield et al., *Work Among Medicaid Adults: Implications of Economic Downturn and Work Requirements*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Feb. 11, 2021), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/work-among-medicaid-adults-implications-of-economic-downturn-and-work-requirements-issue-brief/> (Stating that in 2021, 43% of Medicaid recipients were working full-time and 18% were working part-time (figure 1) and that of these, “...nearly five in ten (45%) Medicaid workers were employed in firms with fewer than 50 employees, which are not subject to ACA penalties for not offering affordable health coverage.” (figure 2)).

²³¹ *Fast Facts on U.S. Hospitals, 2022*, AM. HOSP. ASS'N, <https://www.aha.org/statistics/fast-facts-us-hospitals> (last visited Oct. 31, 2022).

²³² *Id.*

²³³ *Id.*

²³⁴ The total U.S. gross domestic product is \$24.85 trillion. *Gross Domestic Product, Second Quarter 2022 (Advance Estimate)*, BUREAU OF ECON. ANALYSIS (July 28, 2022), <https://www.bea.gov/news/2022/gross-domestic-product-second-quarter-2022-advance-estimate>.

²³⁵ Peter Cunningham et al., *Understanding Medicaid Hospital Payments and the Impact of Recent Policy Changes*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (June 9, 2016), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/understanding-medicaid-hospital-payments-and-the-impact-of-recent-policy-changes-issue-brief/>. Of this amount, \$49.8 billion were for base payments, \$15.2 billion for disproportionate share supplemental payments, and \$24.2 billion for other supplemental payments. *Id.*

²³⁶ *Distribution of U.S. Health Care Expenditures from 2015 to 2021*, by Payer, STATISTA (June 20, 2022), <https://www.statista.com/statistics/237043/us-health-care-spending-distribution/>.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

Hospitals require large workforces and, as a result, are major employers.²³⁷ In 17 states, they are the largest.²³⁸ In 19 of Pennsylvania's 67 counties, a hospital is the biggest employer.²³⁹ The Hospital Association of Pennsylvania estimates that hospitals contributed \$155 billion to that state's economy in 2020, an increase of 63 percent from 2010, and they provided 615,000 jobs.²⁴⁰ During the first year of the Covid pandemic, hospital employment was a stabilizing economic force in many communities, falling just 0.8 percent compared to eight percent for all industries combined.²⁴¹ Hospitals also help to support ancillary industries, such as construction, real estate, medical equipment, and pharmaceuticals.²⁴²

Hospital employment is particularly important for the economies of rural communities that do not have other large employers.²⁴³ Closure of the sole hospital in a region has been found to have a negative effect throughout the immediate area, with one study finding a four percent decrease in per capita income within the first year after closure.²⁴⁴ Within a 15-mile radius of a closed hospital, the study found that per capita income decreased by 0.9 percent and the rate of unemployment increased by 0.3 percent.²⁴⁵ The long-term decrease was estimated to be 1.5 percent.²⁴⁶

D. Pipeline of Medical Professionals

After new physicians graduate from medical school, they spend several years training in hospitals as residents and fellows.²⁴⁷ However, the teaching and supervision involved is expensive

²³⁷ Kelly Gooch, *17 States Where Hospitals Are the Largest Employers*, BECKER'S HOSP. REV. (May 12, 2020), <https://www.beckershospitalreview.com/workforce/17-states-where-hospitals-are-largest-employers.html>. Overall, health care is the largest employer in the United States. See U.S. Census Bureau, *Health Care Still Largest U.S. Employer* (Oct. 13, 2020), <https://www.census.gov/library/stories/2020/10/health-care-still-largest-united-states-employer.html> (stating that "[a]ccording to the U.S. Census Bureau's County Business Patterns (CBP), the 907,426 businesses in the Health Care and Social Assurance sector topped all others with 20 million employees over \$1.0 trillion in annual payroll in 2018.")

²³⁸ GOOCH, *supra* note 237.

²³⁹ *Id.* at 9.

²⁴⁰ *Id.* at 4-5.

²⁴¹ *Id.* at 5.

²⁴² See AYSE YILMAZ, OBAID ZAMAN & SUSHMA SHARMA, BEYOND PATIENT CARE: ECONOMIC IMPACT OF PENNSYLVANIA HOSPITALS 1 (2021), <https://haponlinecontent.azureedge.net/resource/library/fy2020-economic-analysis-white-paper-final.pdf>.

²⁴³ George M. Holmes, Rebecca T. Slifkin, Randy K. Randolph & Stephanie Poley, *The Effect of Rural Hospital Closures on Community Economic Health*, 41 HEALTH SERVS. RSCH. 467 (2006), <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2005.00497.x>.

²⁴⁴ *Id.* at 478.

²⁴⁵ *Id.* at 480.

²⁴⁶ *Id.* The authors of this study suggested that rural hospitals faced particular financial pressure from Medicare's switch to a prospective payment system of hospital reimbursement in the 1980s, noting the link between government reimbursement and hospital survival.

²⁴⁷ Maria J. Perez-Villadoniga, Ana Rodriguez-Alvarez, & David Roibas, *The Contribution of Resident Physicians to Hospital Productivity*, 23 EUR. J. HEALTH ECON. 301, 302 (2022), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8882103/> ("In many countries, before becoming a physician, medical students must go through a long training process after completing their medical studies. Residency training generally takes place at a teaching hospital, where residents practice medicine under the supervision and instruction of fully licensed physicians. Teaching hospitals provide prospective future doctors with necessary education, which is a public good, insofar as well-trained physicians benefit society in general.")

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

to provide.²⁴⁸ There are direct costs of salaries for physician teachers and supervisors and indirect costs of lower productivity when time is diverted from clinical care. Most of this cost is funded by government programs, primarily through supplements to reimbursement under public insurance.²⁴⁹ The Medicare program is the largest funder, but Medicaid plays a major role as the second largest.²⁵⁰

As of 2018, 43 states made graduate medical education (GME) payments through Medicaid to help hospitals with the cost of training future physicians.²⁵¹ Most of these funds went to teaching hospitals, but three states also made payments to medical schools, two to ambulatory care centers, and five to individual physicians.²⁵² Payments in 21 states covered indirect as well as direct costs.²⁵³ In 13 states, payments also covered training of nurses and other health care professionals.²⁵⁴

The total amount paid nationwide for GME through Medicaid is substantial. In 2018, it was \$5.58 billion, a sizeable increase over the \$3.78 billion paid in 2009.²⁵⁵ In 37 states, funds were also paid for physician training from general revenues, and in 16 states funds were contributed by local governments.²⁵⁶

While Medicare still outspends Medicaid for GME,²⁵⁷ state Medicaid programs have the advantage of being able to experiment with different approaches. One example is the use of data monitoring to identify workplace needs. This forms the basis for allocating funding among medical specialties.²⁵⁸

Medicaid funding of GME helps not only the budding clinicians who receive training but also the facilities that train them. It provides many safety net hospitals with the funding needed to cover losses from providing care to Medicaid and indigent patients.²⁵⁹ While teaching hospitals

²⁴⁸ See Steven Ross Johnson, *Cost of Graduate Medical Education Stifling Ability to Bolster Physician Workforce*, MOD. HEALTHCARE (May 4, 2019), <https://www.modernhealthcare.com/providers/cost-graduate-medical-education-stifling-ability-bolster-physician-workforce>.

²⁴⁹ See MARCO A. VILLAGRANA, CONG. RSCH. SERV., IF10960, MEDICARE GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION PAYMENTS: AN OVERVIEW (2019), <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF10960/3>.

²⁵⁰ In 2018, Medicare supported 90,000 positions for medical residents. AM. ASSOC. OF MED. COLLEGES. STATE-BY-STATE GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION DATA, <https://www.aamc.org/advocacy-policy/state-state-graduate-medical-education-data>. (last visited July 31, 2014). See also TIM M. HENDERSON, ASS'N AM. MED. COLLS., MEDICAID GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION PAYMENTS: RESULTS FROM THE 2018 50-STATE SURVEY 1 (2019), https://store.aamc.org/downloadable/download/sample/sample_id/284/.

²⁵¹ *Id.* Although many states make GME payments through Medicaid, almost half of state-based Medicaid GME funding was spent by one state, New York. *Id.* at 377.

²⁵² *Id.* at 380.

²⁵³ *Id.* at 376, 378.

²⁵⁴ *Id.* at 378-79.

²⁵⁵ In 2012, total federal GME payments were \$15 billion. GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION THAT MEETS THE NATION'S HEALTH NEEDS 1 (Jill Eden et al., eds., 2014).

²⁵⁶ *Id.*

²⁵⁷ In 2013, Medicare paid \$5.8 billion to hospitals for indirect medical education costs. See DISPROPORTIONATE SHARE HOSPITAL PAYMENTS, *supra* note 87, at 10-12.

²⁵⁸ See JULIE C. SPERO ET AL., CECIL G. SHEPS CTR. FOR HEALTH SERVS. RSCH., GME IN THE UNITED STATES: A REVIEW OF STATE INITIATIVES (2013), <https://msp.scdhhs.gov/proviso/sites/default/files/Fraher%202013%20GME%20State%20initiatives.pdf>.

²⁵⁹ See Christopher L. Keough & Stephanie A. Webster, *Why Medicaid GME Funding Should Be Preserved*, 61 HEALTHCARE FIN. MGMT. 54.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

are not the only recipients of this funding, they are especially reliant on it.²⁶⁰ If they were to close, there would be chaos not only for patients and community members but also for their trainees.²⁶¹ The closure of Hahnemann left 571 medical residents and fellows scrambling to find alternate placements.²⁶²

An ample supply of well-trained physicians and other health care clinicians is a clear benefit for society at large.²⁶³ It is a private good on an individual level, limited to those who meet certain qualifications. Moreover, only a predetermined number of training slots are available each year.²⁶⁴ However, the intangible benefits to society of having a sufficient number of well-trained clinicians and a pipeline to replenish it helps everyone.

E. Public Health Protection

Everyone is better off when a community has less illness and disability, which is the goal of public health.²⁶⁵ Community members lead healthier and more productive lives, they are more likely to thrive economically, and they experience less fear that disease will strike them and those around them. Reduction of illness and disability in a community is facilitated by several factors, with access to health care prominent among them.²⁶⁶ By enhancing access, Medicaid creates a paradigm example of a public good – a better quality of life for everyone regardless of payment and in inexhaustible supply.

No aspect of public health is more important than reducing the spread of infectious diseases.²⁶⁷ One of the most effective tools in this regard is facilitating the widespread provision of one health care service in particular - vaccination.²⁶⁸ The World Health Organization has

²⁶⁰ JOHN K. IGLEHART, *Institute of Medicine Report on GME – A Call for Reform*, 372 NEW ENG. J. MED. 376, 376 (2015).

²⁶¹ See Gabrielle Redford, *What Happens When a Teaching Hospital Closes?*, ASS’N AM. MED. COLLS. (July 12, 2019), <https://www.aamc.org/news-insights/what-happens-when-teaching-hospital-closes>.

²⁶² *Id.*

²⁶³ By administering the funding for GME, the federal and state governments can also assure that there is an ample supply of clinicians trained in primary care and essential specialties. See Zirui Song, Vineet Chopra & Laurence F. McMahon, *Addressing the Primary Care Workforce Crisis*, 21 AM. J. MANAGED CARE e452 (2015), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4667355/>.

²⁶⁴ U.S. Gen. Acct. Off., *Physician Workforce: Caps on Medicare-Funded Graduate Medical Education at Teaching Hospitals* (2021), <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-21-391>, (stating “Medicare sets caps on both of its types of physician graduate medical education (GME) payments (direct and indirect) to teaching hospitals”).

²⁶⁵ See Lindsay McLaren et al., *Why Public Health Matters Today and Tomorrow: The Role of Applied Public Health Research*, 110 CAN. J. PUB. HEALTH 317 (2019), <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6964435/>, (stating “Public Health Is Critical to a Healthy, Fair, and Sustainable Society”).

²⁶⁶ See CTR. FOR AM. PROGRESS, TOP 10 WAYS TO IMPROVE HEALTH AND HEALTH EQUITY (April 28, 2022), available at <https://www.americanprogress.org/article/top-10-ways-to-improve-health-and-health-equity/>, (listing “improve health care access and quality” as one of the 10 key priorities for improving the nation’s health).

²⁶⁷ Research has even shown an association between overall state spending on social assistance and adult survival from cancer. See Justin M. Barnes, Kenton J. Johnston, & Kimberly J. Johnson, *State Public Assistance Spending and Survival Among Adults with Cancer*, 6 JAMA NETWORK OPEN 1 (2013).

²⁶⁸ Vaccination has been called “one of the most powerful means to save lives and to increase the level of health of mankind.” Paolo Bonanni, *Demographic Impact of Vaccination: A Review*, 17 VACCINE S120 (1999), [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X\(99\)00306-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0264-410X(99)00306-0).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

estimated that vaccination saves the lives of three million children annually worldwide.²⁶⁹ More than 60 vaccines have been approved for use in the United States, and more are continually added.²⁷⁰ Most are administered to children, and laws in every state require that they receive a panel of vaccines before entering school.²⁷¹

Vaccination on a wide scale produces an especially important secondary benefit when a sufficient number of people receive it. Once the proportion of those in a population who are immune to an infectious agent reaches a critical threshold, that agent no longer has enough susceptible hosts to maintain its presence in the population.²⁷² Further spread then ceases, and the community achieves a state known as “herd immunity” in which the disease disappears.²⁷³ For most diseases, the threshold is about 90 percent.²⁷⁴ When it is reached, even those who remain unvaccinated are protected.

On an individual level, the administration of a vaccine is exclusive in that it can be limited to those who pay.²⁷⁵ It is rival in that once a vaccine dose has been administered to one patient, it is not available for others. However, it produces a tremendous positive externality by reducing the risk of disease for everyone with whom the vaccine recipient comes into contact.²⁷⁶ This phenomenon was dramatically demonstrated by the failure of a private HMO in Milwaukee in the 1990s to routinely immunize members, resulting in a community outbreak of 1,100 cases of measles.²⁷⁷ In this regard, vaccination produces a crucial public good.²⁷⁸

Public benefits also accrue from public health efforts to prevent and manage chronic diseases. Conditions such as heart disease, high blood pressure, diabetes, obesity, and depression reduce economic productivity and can make people more susceptible to infectious diseases they

²⁶⁹ See WORLD HEALTH ORG., THE WORLD HEALTH REPORT 1997: CONQUERING SUFFERING, ENRICHING HUMANITY (1997), <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41900>.

²⁷⁰ See Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, *Vaccines and Preventable Diseases* (Apr. 13, 2018), <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vpd/vaccines-list.html> (last visited July 31, 2024) (listing vaccines used in the United States).

²⁷¹ See Ctrs. For Disease Control & Prevention, *State Vaccination Requirements* (Nov. 15, 2026), <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/imz-managers/laws/state-reqs.html> (last visited July 31, 2024).

²⁷² See Charlene M.C. Rodrigues & Stanley A. Plotkin, *Impact of Vaccines; Health, Economic and Social Perspectives*, 11 FRONTIERS IN MICROBIOLOGY 4-5 (2020) (stating that herd immunity is “[w]here a sufficiently high portion of the population are vaccinated, transmission of the infecting agent is halted thereby protecting the unvaccinated, who may be those too young, too vulnerable, or too immunosuppressed to receive vaccines.”).

²⁷³ *The Relationship Between Vaccines and Herd Immunity*, COLUM. MAILMAN SCH. OF PUB. HEALTH (Apr. 9, 2021), <https://www.publichealth.columbia.edu/public-health-now/news/relationship-between-vaccines-and-herd-immunity>.

²⁷⁴ *Id.*

²⁷⁵ FOLLAND ET AL., *supra* note 120, at 283.

²⁷⁶ From the perspective of economic efficiency, the broader marginal benefit from the vaccine is greater because of the externality. Since individuals are typically concerned only with the benefit to themselves, the price will not reflect this greater benefit. As a result, it may be underpriced and therefore underproduced. See FOLLAND ET AL., *supra* note 120, at 284.

²⁷⁷ ANDRULIS, *supra* note 72, at 131.

²⁷⁸ See Bryan L. Boulier, Tejwant S. Datta, & Robert S. Goldfarb, *Vaccination Externalities*, 7 B.E. J. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS & POLICY (2022), <https://www.degruyter.com/document/doi/10.2202/1935-1682.1487/html> (“Vaccination provides indirect benefits to the unvaccinated The size of the externality is not necessarily monotonic in the number of vaccinated, vaccine efficacy, nor disease infectiousness. Moreover, its magnitude can be remarkably large. In particular, the marginal externality of a vaccination can be greater than one case of illness prevented among the nonvaccinated, so its omission from policy analyses implies serious biases.”).

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

might then spread. However, these conditions are expensive to treat and manage.²⁷⁹ Medicaid covers that cost for millions.

The combined effect of all of these public health activities has contributed to the production of what may be the most important health-related benefit of all – a dramatic increase in life expectancy. Average life expectancy at birth in the United States rose from 47.3 years in 1900 to 76.5 years in 1997.²⁸⁰ Improvements in medical care have been an important contributor to this gain, but only insofar as patients can access them, which Medicaid helps to assure.²⁸¹ Government intervention has also been important through other longstanding public health initiatives, such as sanitation and clean drinking water, that have reduced the prevalence of many serious conditions, such as cholera, dysentery, and polio.²⁸²

The benefits of public health also have a global dimension. These are recognized by several international organizations, including the World Bank, which has described one public health benefit, pandemic preparedness, as a public good that enhances health security for people in every country.²⁸³ The task of providing this security on a global level falls largely to the World Health Organization, but that institution is perennially underfunded for the magnitude of the challenge, placing much of the burden on efforts of individual countries.²⁸⁴ In the United States, a large portion of that burden is met by Medicaid, which plays a key role in pandemic response in two ways. It finances prevention in the form of vaccination and treatment of those who become ill, and it facilitates recordkeeping that public health officials use to track disease spread.²⁸⁵ In this regard, it extends the program's public health benefits globally.

²⁷⁹ See *Health and Economic Costs of Chronic Diseases*, CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION (Sept. 8, 2022), <https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/about/costs/index.htm>. See *Chronic Disease Prevention in the U.S. - Statistics & Facts*, STATISTA, <https://www.statista.com/topics/8951/chronic-disease-prevention-in-the-us/#topicOverview> (last visited Jan. 29, 2024) (“The CDC estimates that six in ten adults in the United States currently live with a chronic disease such as cancer, heart disease, or diabetes. Chronic diseases are among the leading causes of death in the United States with heart disease and cancer alone accounting for around 38 percent of all deaths.”).

²⁸⁰ U.S. CENSUS BUREAU, STATISTICAL ABSTRACT OF THE UNITED STATES 874 (119th ed. 1999), <https://www2.census.gov/library/publications/1999/compendia/statab/119ed/tables/sec31.pdf>.

²⁸¹ See Jason D Buxbaum, Michael E Chernew, A. Mark Fendrick & David M Cutler, *Contributions of Public Health, Pharmaceuticals, and Other Medical Care to U.S. Life Expectancy Changes, 1990-2015*, 39 HEALTH AFFS. 1546 (2020) (stating that forty-four percent of improved life expectancy was attributable to public health).

²⁸² *Id.*

²⁸³ See Felix Stein & Devi Sridhar, *Health as a “Global Public Good”: Creating a Market for Pandemic Risk*, BMJ 3, (2017), <https://www.bmj.com/content/bmj/358/bmj.j3397.full.pdf>.

²⁸⁴ See Suerie Moon, John-Arne Rottingen, & Julio Frenk, *Global Public Goods for Health: Weaknesses and Opportunities in the Global Health System*, 12 HEALTH ECON., POL’Y, & L. 195, 195, 198 (2017), <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28332461/>.

²⁸⁵ Enhancing and stabilizing Medicaid were key components of the federal government’s response to the Covid pandemic. The Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA) Pub. L. 116-127, § 6008, as amended by the Coronavirus Aid, Response and Economic Security CARES) Act, Pub. L. 116-136, 134 Stat. 281, increased the federal share of Medicaid funding by 6.2 percent during the time that the Covid national public health emergency (PHE), declared under section 319 of the Public Health Service Act, 42 USC chapter 6A, was in effect. It also imposed a moratorium on eligibility reviews and disenrollment by states during the PHE. Pub. L. 116-136, § 3716. See generally Sara Rosenbaum, Morgan Handley, & Rebecca Morris, *Winding Down Continuous Enrollment for Medicaid Beneficiaries When the Public Health Emergency Ends*, THE COMMONWEALTH FUND (Jan. 7, 2021), <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2021/jan/winding-down-enrollment-medicaid-health-emergency-ends>.

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

F. Healthier Children

Research shows that children who are healthier are more likely to grow up to be healthier as adults.²⁸⁶ The health of children has been a key objective of Medicaid since its inception.²⁸⁷ It is the reason that EPSDT was added as a mandatory benefit.²⁸⁸ Medicaid has grown into the source of coverage for more than 40 percent of all births in the United States²⁸⁹ and, along with CHIP, for more than 45 million children.²⁹⁰ The same premise lay behind the enactment of CHIP in 1997.²⁹¹ The importance of a healthy start in life was also a motivation for adding EPSDT to Medicaid in 1967.²⁹²

Medicaid coverage of children coincided with substantial improvements in child health for which it was likely a major contributing factor. In the first full decade of Medicaid's existence (1965-1975), infant mortality declined by 35 percent, neonatal mortality by 41 percent, deaths in early childhood by 24 percent, deaths among school-age children by 26 percent, and deaths among older adolescents and young adults by 25 percent.²⁹³ During the same period, there was also a tremendous decline in the incidence of several childhood diseases, including measles, mumps, and rubella, which has been attributed largely to increased coverage of vaccinations.²⁹⁴ One analysis of Medicaid's role in promoting the health of children concluded,

Medicaid coverage has provided the foundation on which a comprehensive pediatric health care program is based. Without Medicaid, low-income children would not have full access to well-child visits, immunizations, lead screenings, vision and hearing services, dental care, developmental screening, adolescent counseling services, mental health care, long- term care and treatment for chronic illness. Without Medicaid, low-income females would not have full access to prenatal care and coverage of family planning and other obstetric services that are vital to the

²⁸⁶ See *Lifelong Health*, CTR. FOR THE DEVELOPING CHILD HARVARD UNIV., <https://developingchild.harvard.edu/science/deep-dives/lifelong-health/> (last visited Jan. 23, 2024).

²⁸⁷ Rosemarie B. Hakim et al., *Medicaid and the Health of Children*, 22 HEALTH CARE FINANCE REV. 133, 133 (2000) (“Since its inception in the 1960s, the Medicaid Program has provided health insurance coverage to low-income children and their families.”). See also, Judith D. Moore & David G. Smith, *Legislating Medicaid: Considering Medicaid and Its Origins*, 27 HEALTH CARE FIN. REV. 45, 47 (2005) (noting a statement by Wilbur Mills, one of Medicaid's key Congressional sponsors, that “a Medicare Hospital insurance program for the aged alone was not sufficient to meet the many medical needs of the aged, blind, and disabled or the mothers and children receiving aid for dependent children”).

²⁸⁸ Jane Perkins and Sarah Somers, *Medicaid's Gold Standard Coverage for Children and Youth: Past, Present, and Future*, 30 ANNALS OF HEALTH LAW AND LIFE SCIENCES 153, 158 (2021).

²⁸⁹ Anne Rossier Marcus et al., *Medicaid Covered Births, 2008 Through 2010, in the Context of the Implementation of Health Reform*, 23 WOMEN'S HEALTH ISSUES e273 (2013), [https://www.whijournal.com/article/S1049-3867\(13\)00055-8/pdf](https://www.whijournal.com/article/S1049-3867(13)00055-8/pdf).

²⁹⁰ *Access for Children Covered by Medicaid and CHIP*, MACPAC, <https://www.macpac.gov/subtopic/access-for-children-covered-by-medicaid-and-chip/> (last visited Nov. 1, 2022).

²⁹¹ Julia Paradise, *The Impact of the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP): What Does the Research Tell Us?*, KAISER COMM'N ON MEDICAID & THE UNINSURED (July 17, 2014), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/the-impact-of-the-childrens-health-insurance-program-chip-issue-brief/>.

²⁹² Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1396a(a)(43), 1396d(a)(4)(B). See also 42 C.F.R. § 441.50.

²⁹³ HAKIM ET AL., *supra* note 287, at 136-37.

²⁹⁴ *Id.* at 138.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

health of their newborns.²⁹⁵

Research has also identified effects of Medicaid participation in early childhood on social and economic wellbeing in adulthood.²⁹⁶ A study of educational attainment found that expanding health insurance coverage for low-income children increases rates of high school and college completion.²⁹⁷ A study of occupational success found that children who gained coverage through expansions of Medicaid and CHIP in the 1980s and 1990s paid more in income taxes at age 28, indicating that they had higher earnings.²⁹⁸ The researchers estimated that by the time these childhood beneficiaries reached age 60, the government would have recouped 56 cents in taxes for every dollar spent on coverage.

As with other health care services covered by Medicaid, those provided to children are private goods when considered on an individual basis. Access can be restricted based on payment, and the capacity of health care providers to render them is limited. However, the significantly reduces their exclusive nature, lending them the characteristics of a common good. Their benefits in creating a healthier adult population thereby reaches all of society.

G. Promoting Health Care Innovation

In its role as a source of support for the health care system within which private providers operate, Medicaid has been a driving force for innovations to address challenges in health care delivery and finance. A notable example is the transition of thousands of disabled, frail, and developmentally disabled patients from institutional care to HCBS, which would not have been possible without the flexibility provided by the waiver provisions of the Medicaid Act discussed in section I.²⁹⁹ Among the more dramatic effects of HCBS is a substantial decrease in unmet health care needs among children with autism spectrum disorder.³⁰⁰ Other research has found that they

²⁹⁵ *Id.* at 136.

²⁹⁶ See Michael H. Budreus et al., *The Long-Term Impacts of Medicaid Exposure in Early Childhood: Evidence from the Program's Origin*, 45 J. HEALTH ECON. 161 (2016), <https://doi-org./10.1016/j.jhealeco.2015.11.001>.

²⁹⁷ See Sarah Cohodes et al., *The Effect of Child Health Insurance Access on Schooling: Evidence from Public Insurance Expansions* (Nat'l Bureau of Econ. Rsch., Working Paper No. 20178, 2014), https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w20178/w20178.pdf.

²⁹⁸ See David W. Brown et al., *Medicaid as an Investment in Children: What is the Long-Term Impact on Tax Receipts?*, (Nat'l Bureau of Econ. Rsch., Working Paper No. 20835, 2015), https://www.nber.org/system/files/working_papers/w20835/w20835.pdf.

²⁹⁹ See MaryBeth Musumeci, Molly O'Malley Watts, & Priya Chidambaram, *Key State Policy Choices About Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Feb. 4, 2020), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/key-state-policy-choices-about-medicare-home-and-community-based-services/>.

³⁰⁰ See Douglas L. Leslie et al., *The Effects of Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waivers on Unmet Needs Among Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder*, 55 MED. CARE 57, 57 (2017). As discussed in section II, these waivers have also been found to increase the likelihood that parents of disabled children will be able continue working, thereby easing financial stresses on many families. See Kiley J. McLean et al., *United States Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities: A Scoping Review*, 34 J. APPL. RES. INTELLECT DISABIL. 684, 690-92 (2020).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

reduce the odds of having unmet medical needs more among Black children than among white children, thereby helping to reduce health disparities.³⁰¹

Beyond innovation in financing, Medicaid has been crucial to innovation in the pharmaceutical industry. The most important source of direct funding for pharmaceutical innovation is the National Institutes of Health (NIH), in its support for biomedical research.³⁰² However, Medicaid, along with Medicare, provides important indirect support by financing the use of novel treatments and the training of clinicians who apply them.³⁰³ This has been particularly important in the development of treatments for cancer.³⁰⁴

Medicaid's coverage of prescription drugs is a major source of program costs, leading Congress to place limits on the amounts that drug companies can charge the program.³⁰⁵ Nevertheless, the value of the Medicaid market to those companies, \$32 billion in 2018, is considerable.³⁰⁶ That year, beneficiaries in Medicare and Medicaid accounted for 45 percent of spending on prescription drugs in the United States.³⁰⁷ By providing this large proportion of the pharmaceutical industry's revenue, Medicaid supplies critical financial support that makes its development of new products financially attractive.

H. Reducing the Societal Burden of Illness

Perhaps the most fundamental public good of all those produced by Medicaid is a reduction in the burden of illness for all of society. The combination of Medicaid and CHIP covered more than 80 million Americans in 2024.³⁰⁸ Without those programs, most of them would be unable to obtain health insurance, which would make it difficult to receive any health care services. If they

³⁰¹ See Michelle LaClair et al., *The Effect of Medicaid Waivers on Ameliorating Racial/Ethnic Disparities Among Children with Autism*, 54 HEALTH SERV. RSCH. 912, 916-18 (2019).

³⁰² *Direct Economic Contributions*, NAT'L INST. OF HEALTH (Dec. 8, 2023), <https://www.nih.gov/about-nih/what-we-do/impact-nih-research/serving-society/direct-economic-contributions> ("With an annual budget of more than \$45 billion, NIH is the largest single public funder of biomedical and behavioral research in the world.").

³⁰³ See Daniel Kevles, *Medicare, Medicaid, and Pharmaceuticals: The Price of Innovation*, HEALTH AFFS. FOREFRONT (Nov. 20, 2014), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/content/forefront/medicare-medicare-and-pharmaceuticals-price-innovation>. All Medicaid programs cover prescription drugs and thereby finance an important market for these innovations. See *Medicaid Prescription Drug Laws and Strategies*, NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF STATE LEGISLATURES, <https://www.ncsl.org/health/medicaid-prescription-drug-laws-and-strategies> (last updated Aug. 27, 2021).

³⁰⁴ MEDICAID PRESCRIPTION DRUG LAWS, *supra* note 303.

³⁰⁵ See Rachel Dolan & Marina Tian, *Pricing and Payment for Medicaid Prescription Drugs*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Jan. 23, 2020), <https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/pricing-and-payment-for-medicare-prescription-drugs/>.

³⁰⁶ See Prescription Drugs: Spending, Use and Prices, CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE (2022), at 8, <https://www.cbo.gov/system/files/2022-01/57050-Rx-Spending.pdf>. See also Juliette Cubanski et al., *How Does Prescription Drug Spending and Use Compare Across Large Employer Plans, Medicare Part D, and Medicaid?*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (May 20, 2019), <https://www.kff.org/medicare/issue-brief/how-does-prescription-drug-spending-and-use-compare-across-large-employer-plans-medicare-part-d-and-medicare/>. Some industry critics contend that the value of the Medicaid market to drug companies is too large. See Rachel E. Sachs et al., *Confronting State Medicaid Drug Spending Pressures*, 324 JAMA 324, 324 (2020), (stating the "[a]cross the country, state Medicaid budgets are under increasing strain, driven by factors including the high and increasing prices of prescription drugs").

³⁰⁷ CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE, *supra* note 306, at 8.

³⁰⁸ MEDICAID.GOV., *supra* note 69.

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

did, they would be responsible for the cost, which could send many into bankruptcy.³⁰⁹ Some care might still be available in public clinics, if any existed in a patient's area, however the services they offer are often limited to primary care, and wait times can be substantial.³¹⁰ With the closure of most public hospitals decades ago, there would be few alternative sources of care.

The potential effects of reducing Medicaid participation can be seen in the effect of uninsurance on the millions of Americans who experience it. Most people without insurance lack a reliable source of care, which increases the risk of developing an array of chronic diseases.³¹¹ For those who have developed one of them, it increases the chance that the disease will be managed poorly.³¹² They are less likely to receive follow-up care, more likely to be hospitalized for avoidable reasons, and, if they are hospitalized, less likely to receive diagnostic and therapeutic services.³¹³ Because of consequences such as these, lack of coverage is also associated with shorter life expectancies.³¹⁴ Moreover, measures of health status have consistently been found to be lower for racial and other minority groups that face barriers to accessing care.³¹⁵

By one estimate, poorer health for the uninsured already creates an aggregate national cost of at least \$65 billion and possibly as high as \$130 billion a year.³¹⁶ Without Medicaid, the cost would grow substantially and affect many sectors of the economy. Federal and state governments would face greater demand for whatever public health care services were available. The burden on public health programs would grow to address increased health threats. Many hospitals and clinicians would find it more challenging to care for all of their patients with time and resources diverted to caring for a sicker population.³¹⁷ Premiums would rise for private insurance to cover the increased reimbursement that hospitals would need to fund their greater uncompensated care load. The benefits of avoiding this burden reach everyone, and they are available in unlimited supply and without regard to payment.

IV. LIMITS OF MEDICAID

While Medicaid and other health care safety programs bring the numerous societal benefits discussed in section III, their limits should also be acknowledged. Two of them are especially

³⁰⁹ David Himmelstein et al., *Medical Bankruptcy: Still Common Despite the Affordable Care Act*, 198 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 431 (2019).

³¹⁰ *What is a Health Center?*, HEALTH RES. & SERVS. ADMIN., <https://bphc.hrsa.gov/about-health-centers/what-health-center> (Dec. 2021). Long wait times are common at outpatient clinics around the world. See Mohammadkarim Bahadori et al., *Factors Affecting the Overcrowding in Outpatient Healthcare*, 6 J. EDUC. & HEALTH PROMOTION, (2017).

³¹¹ See Andrew P. Wilper et al., *A National Study of Chronic Disease Prevalence and Access to Care in Uninsured U.S. Adults*, 149 ANNALS INTERNAL MED. 170 (2008), <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-3-200808050-00006>.

³¹² *Id.*

³¹³ *Id.*

³¹⁴ Wilhelmine Miller et al., *Covering the Uninsured: What is It Worth?*, 23 HEALTH AFFS. W4-157, 164 (2004), <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.W4.157>.

³¹⁵ UNEQUAL TREATMENT: CONFRONTING RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN HEALTH CARE 43 (Brian D. Smedley et al. eds., 2003). Additionally, several studies showed significant racial disparities in receiving cancer diagnostic tests and higher morbidity among Black patients diagnosed with cancer even when testing detected illness earlier. *See id.* at 5. Racial disparities were also seen in diabetes care, renal disease, pediatric care, maternal and child health, mental health, rehabilitative and nursing home services, and many surgical procedures. *See id.* at 6.

³¹⁶ MILLER ET AL., *supra* note 314, at 161.

³¹⁷ *Id.*

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

significant. First, despite the size of these programs, there are still substantial limits to their reach. Second, in supporting the ability of hospitals and other private providers to render care, they also enable provider abuses.

A. Limits to Medicaid's Reach

Medicaid provides financial support that keeps many hospitals afloat, its reimbursement rates, even with DSH supplements, often fail to cover the actual cost of care.³¹⁸ A study of the effects of the ACA Medicaid expansion on hospital finances found that while it led to substantial reductions in expenses for providing uncompensated care, the savings were offset by the gap between Medicaid reimbursement for that care and the cost.³¹⁹ Moreover, states often set Medicaid payment rates for physicians at low levels to control costs. Nationally, the rates average about two-thirds of those paid by Medicare.³²⁰ This, along with administrative burdens of participating in the program, has led many of them to decline to take part.³²¹ Federal law requires that payment rates be high enough to ensure that beneficiaries have as much access to health care services as people with private insurance, but neither states nor the federal government have consistently enforced this rule.³²² As a result, those without access to public health clinics can still encounter difficulty finding a physician whom they can afford to see.³²³

Moreover, while Medicaid has substantially reduced inequalities in access to health care and in overall health, significant gaps based on social factors remain. Notably, access remains more limited for those with lower incomes in most parts of the country, resulting in diminished

³¹⁸ See Jeffrey D. Colvin, Matt Hall, Jay G. Berry et al., *Financial Loss for Inpatient Care of Medicaid-Insured Children*, 170 JAMA 1055, 1056 (2016), https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamapediatrics/article-abstract/2551924?casa_token=G-tDaCmoDr4AAAAA:2IS4Rb-KHJTQwP82eE4Br9vZJOD7lw9JilhGOX9luxJXHGGQ75nzrxrQOy2Y_bPqG_2xJhxT62o (stating that “the care of patients with Medicaid coverage also contributes to uncompensated costs because Medicaid typically reimburses below hospital costs. In 2014, hospital financial losses from Medicaid underpayment totaled \$14.1 billion”).

³¹⁹ See Gary J. Young et al., *Impact of ACA Medicaid Expansion on Hospitals' Financial Status*, 64 J. HEALTHCARE MGMT. 91 (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1097/jhm-d-17-00177>.

³²⁰ See James M. Perrin et al., *Medicaid and Child Health Equity*, 383 NEW ENG. J. MED. 2595, 2597 (2020), <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp2030646>. See also, Daniel Polsky et al., *Appointment Availability After Increases in Medicaid Payments for Primary Care*, 372 NEW ENG. J. MED. 537, 538 (2015), <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMs1413299> (“Lower payments have been cited as a critical barrier to access for primary care among Medicaid enrollees²⁷ and are associated with lower provider availability for Medicaid patients.”).

³²¹ See Abe Dunn et al., *A Denial a Day Keeps the Doctor Away* (NBER, Working Paper No. 29010, 2023), <https://www.nber.org/papers/w29010>.

³²² See PERRIN ET AL., *supra* note 320, at 2597.

³²³ See POLSKY ET AL., *supra*, note 320, at 538. (“Provider access is of particular concern for the Medicaid program, which is set to absorb the bulk of newly insured persons in many states [under the ACA], because Medicaid typically reimburses providers at much lower payment rates than those of Medicare and commercial insurers for the same services.”)

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

health status.³²⁴ Research has also shown that while the ACA Medicaid expansion lowered uninsurance rates overall, it left rates for noncitizens substantially higher than those for citizens.³²⁵

In terms of provider abuses, although health care providers operate with a mission to improve the wellbeing of patients and the public, self-interested behavior is rampant. Hospitals, both for-profit and nonprofit, are business entities, and as such they face incentives to maximize revenue. These incentives lead many to limit access to care based on financial considerations.³²⁶ The availability of Medicaid reimbursement has not eliminated this practice.

In particular, it is common for hospitals to restrict or deny nonemergency care for patients who have no source of payment.³²⁷ For emergency care, assessment and stabilization cannot be denied based on ability to pay under EMTALA, but that law says nothing about nonurgent medical needs.³²⁸ Moreover, when emergency care is rendered and a patient lacks insurance to cover the cost, both for-profit and nonprofit hospitals routinely send bills and bring lawsuits when they are not paid.³²⁹ It is not uncommon for patients who face such suits to be forced into bankruptcy.³³⁰ One large midwestern health system, Allina Health, refused for a time to provide any services to patients who were in debt to it for previous care until negative publicity led it to suspend the practice.³³¹ Some hospitals even seek ways to avoid treating Medicaid patients because of its low reimbursement rates.³³²

Aggressive business practices also characterize many nursing homes, especially those with for-profit ownership.³³³ These practices include reducing staffing levels and neglecting basic

³²⁴ See Gideon Lukens, *Medicaid Coverage Gap Affects Even Larger Group Over Time Than Estimates Indicate*, CTR. ON BUDGET & POL'Y PRIORITIES (Sept. 3, 2021), <https://www.cbpp.org/research/health/medicaid-coverage-gap-affects-even-larger-group-over-time-than-estimates-indicate> (Loss of Medicaid coverage due to income volatility affected Black and Latino households the most).

³²⁵ Jim P. Stimpson & Fernando A. Wilson, *Medicaid Expansion Improved Health Insurance Coverage for Immigrants, But Disparities Persist*, 37 HEALTH AFFS. 1656 (2018), <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0181>.

³²⁶ See Joel S. Weissman et al., *Limits to the Safety Net: Teaching Hospital Faculty Report on Their Patients' Access to Care*, 22 HEALTH AFF. 156, 156 (2003) (reporting the observation of medical school faculty that initial treatment of an uninsured patient at a teaching hospital does not guarantee access to specialty and other referral services).

³²⁷ Ruohua Annetta Zhou et al., *The Uninsured Do Not Use the Emergency Department More – They Use Other Care Less*, 36 HEALTH AFF. 2115, 2120 (2017) (reporting results of study that found that those without insurance use nonemergency hospital care less than those with insurance and explaining as a reason that the uninsured “may be legally denied care in non-ED settings”).

³²⁸ Michelle N. Diamond, *Legal Triage for Healthcare Reform: The Conflict Between the ACA and EMTALA*, 43 COLUMBIA HUMAN RTS. L. REV. 255, 262 (2022) (“In short, EMTALA requires hospitals to provide emergency medical care to all individuals—including undocumented immigrants—regardless of their ability to pay for treatment, but does not require or reimburse non-emergency medical care.”).

³²⁹ See Noam Levey, *Investigation: Many U.S. Hospitals Sue Patients for Debts or Threaten Their Credit*, NPR (Dec. 21, 2022), <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2022/12/21/1144491711/investigation-many-u-s-hospitals-sue-patients-for-debts-or-threaten-their-credit>.

³³⁰ See Michael Sainato, *‘I Live on the Street Now’: How Americans Fall into Medical Bankruptcy*, THE GUARDIAN (Nov. 14, 2019), <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/nov/14/health-insurance-medical-bankruptcy-debt>.

³³¹ See Sarah Kliff & Jessica Silver-Greenberg, *Nonprofit Health System Pauses Policy of Cutting Off Care for Patients in Debt*, N.Y. TIMES (June 9, 2023), <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/06/09/health/allina-health-nonprofit-medical-debt.html>.

³³² Junaid Nabi, et al. *Access Denied: The Relationship Between Patient Insurance Status and Access to High-Volume Hospitals*, 127 CANCER 577, 582-84 (2021), <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.33237>.

³³³ See Robert I. Field et al., *Private Equity in Health Care: Barbarians at the Gate?*, 15 DREXEL L. REV. 101, 103-04 (2023) (describing effects of acquisitions of long-term care facilities by private equity firms).

THE GIFT THAT KEEPS ON GIVING

patient needs.³³⁴ There have also been numerous instances of billing fraud.³³⁵ An additional serious quality concern is frequent physical abuse of residents.³³⁶ Enforcement tends to be lax, and penalties are often delayed or avoided through appeals.³³⁷

B. The Balance of Limits and Benefits

Nevertheless, acknowledging these limitations of Medicaid is not to deny that it makes substantial contributions to community and national wellbeing. Because of it, as described in section II, facilities that are vital to public wellbeing, such as hospitals and nursing homes, enjoy a more stable financial footing, health care is more widely available, disparities in access to care are substantially reduced, and the population is considerably healthier than it would be otherwise. The shortcomings of Medicaid are reason to improve and expand it, not to doubt its value.

CONCLUSION

Compared to citizens of many other developed countries, Americans tend to place tremendous emphasis on the values of individualism and autonomy.³³⁸ These values support the notion that with enough drive and determination, people can “pull themselves up by their bootstraps” to gain whatever advantage they want.³³⁹ From this perspective, rewards come to those who work hard and take responsibility for themselves, and lack of those attributes often leads to misfortune.

In health care at least, that notion is a gross distortion of reality. The concept of “self-reliance” when it comes to accessing life-saving services is at best a myth and at worst a justification for economically destructive and socially regressive government policies.³⁴⁰ No element of American health care could exist in anything like its present size or vitality without a foundation of government support, and everyone who uses it is relying directly or indirectly on a vast web of government programs, with Medicaid at its core.³⁴¹ Even more fundamentally, everyone, regardless of what health care services they use, enjoys the social, psychological and

³³⁴ HUM. RTS. WATCH, US: CONCERNS OF NEGLECT IN NURSING HOMES, 5-7, 18 (2021), available at https://www.hrw.org/sites/default/files/media_2022/02/drd_nursinghome0521_brochure_PRINT_0.pdf.

³³⁵ FIELD et al., *supra* note 333, at 71.

³³⁶ Robert Gebeloff, Kaie Thomas & Jessica Silver-Greenberg, *How Nursing Homes’ Worst Offenses Are Often Hidden from the Public*, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 10, 2021), <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/12/09/business/nursing-home-abuse-inspection.html>.

³³⁷ *Id.*

³³⁸ George Gao, *How Do Americans Stand Out From the Rest of the World?*, PEW RSCH. CTR. (Mar. 12, 2015), <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2015/03/12/how-do-americans-stand-out-from-the-rest-of-the-world/>.

³³⁹ Melissa Mohr, *How the ‘Bootstrap’ Idiom Became a Cultural Ideal*, CHRISTIAN SCI. MONITOR (Oct. 4, 2021), <https://www.csmonitor.com/The-Culture/In-a-Word/2021/1004/How-the-bootstrap-idiom-became-a-cultural-ideal>.

³⁴⁰ See Nicole Huberfeld & Jessica L. Roberts, *Health Care and the Myth of Self-Reliance*, 57 B.C. L. REV. 1, 23 (2016) (“The concept of self-reliance, as a principle in modern American social and political discourse, is a myth.”).

³⁴¹ See FIELD, *supra* note 8, at 4-13 (describing how every major sector of the health care industry, including pharmaceuticals, hospitals, the medical profession, and insurance, has relied on government funding throughout its history). The central importance of government funding and support can also be seen across major industries, including technology, automobiles, telecommunications, and homebuilding. *Id.*

51 AMERICAN JOURNAL OF LAW & MEDICINE __ (WINTER 2025)

economic benefits that Medicaid creates. These are public goods and common goods that no individual or private entity could create on its own.

In addition to its conceptual value, this framing of Medicaid enables a more powerful pushback against proposals to reduce or eliminate it. Medicaid is much more than generosity for the “deserving” poor, as important and morally compelling as that generosity may be. It is a pillar of the country’s health care system and thereby of the larger social and economic fabric of our society. As Medicaid kept Hahnemann afloat for several decades to the benefit of its surrounding community, it sustains health care across the country to the benefit of communities everywhere. We are all beneficiaries of Medicaid, and we all have a stake in supporting its survival and growth.